



## Assignment 2 Introduction to Computational Logic, SS 2005

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Lutz Straßburger  
<http://www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/cl-ss05/>

---

see <http://www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/cl-ss05/script/term.sml>

---

This week we are concerned with the implementation of S in Standard ML. Most of it has been explained in the lecture and you will find the source code in the Net. The assignment will help you to get a solid understanding of the data structure for terms and the algorithms for substitution, reduction and elimination.

**Exercise 2.1** Declare representations of the following terms:

- a)  $\lambda x.f x$
- b)  $\lambda x.g(f x a)$
- c)  $\lambda y x.(\lambda z y.f y x) a y$

Use the nice syntax provided by *C*, *var*, *app* and *lam*.

**Exercise 2.2 (Reduction)** There is a theorem that says that the simplification of a term with the  $\beta$ - and the  $\eta$ -rule always terminates with a unique result. You are going to write a procedure that computes this result, which is called the  $\beta\eta$ -normal form of the initial term. First we need two auxiliary procedures.

- a) Declare a procedure  $bsubst : int \rightarrow ter \rightarrow ter \rightarrow ter$  such that  $bsubst\ 0\ t\ t'$  yields the term obtained by applying the  $\beta$ -rule topmost to the term  $(\lambda T.t)t'$ . The first argument of  $bsubst$  provides the number of  $\lambda$ 's on the path to the root.
- b) Declare a procedure  $down : int \rightarrow ter \rightarrow ter$  such that  $down\ 0\ t$  yields the term that is obtained by decrementing the free variable occurrences in  $t$  by 1. If the variable 0 occurs free in  $t$ ,  $down$  should raise the exception *Domain*. The first argument of  $down$  provides the number of  $\lambda$ 's on the path to the root.
- c) Complete the following procedure  $red : ter \rightarrow ter$  such that it yields the  $\beta\eta$ -normal form of a term:

```

fun red (A(s,t)) = beta (red s) (red t)
  | red (L(ty,t)) = eta ty (red t)
  | red t = t
and beta s t = case s of
  L(_,s') =>
  | _ =>
and eta ty t = case t of
  A(t', V 0) =>
  | _ =>

```

- d) Test your procedure *red* with the terms from Exercise 2.1.
- e) There are ill-typed terms whose simplification with the  $\beta$ - and the  $\eta$ -rule does not terminate. Find such a term. Hint: Start with the term  $\lambda x.xx$ .

**Exercise 2.3 (Elimination)** If the constants  $S, K, I$  are available for all types, then there exists for every term a logically equivalent term that does not contain  $\lambda$ 's (a so-called combinatory term). Find equivalent combinatory terms for the following terms. Ignore types.

- a)  $\lambda xy.y$
- b)  $\lambda xyz.y$
- c)  $\lambda xyz.x$
- d)  $\lambda x.fx(fxx)$

Now assume the typing  $x, y, z: T$  and  $f: T \rightarrow T \rightarrow T$ . Determine the type subscripts of the occurrences of the polymorphic constants  $S, K, I$  in your combinatory terms.

**Exercise 2.4 (Elimination)** It is not difficult to write a procedure *elim* : *ter*  $\rightarrow$  *ter* that computes equivalent combinatory terms if types are ignored:

*free0* tests whether the variable 0 occurs free in t

```

fun free0 t = (down 0 t; false) handle Domain => true

```

*elim'* yields for a combinatory term t a combinatory term t' such that  $\lambda T.t$  and t' are logically equivalent

```

fun elim' (V 0) = ...
  | elim' (A(s, V 0)) = if free0 s then ... else ...
  | elim' (A(s,t)) = ...
  | elim' t = ...

```

```

fun elim (A(s,t)) = A(elim s, elim t)
  | elim (L(_,t)) = down 0 (elim' (elim t))
  | elim t = t

```

Complete  $elim'$  such that  $elim$  yields  $S(Kg)(Sf(Ka))$  for  $\lambda x.g(fxa)$ . The procedure *down* is from Exercise 2.2.