

Review of S(HOL)

11-3

C. Smolka

22.6.2005

Peano Axioms (PA)

$$0x \neq 0y \rightarrow x \neq y$$

$$\neg(0x \neq 0)$$

$$f \circ \wedge (\forall x. fx \rightarrow f(0x)) \rightarrow \forall f$$

$$+ : N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N$$

$$0 + y = y$$

$$0x + y = 0(x+y)$$

$$* : N \rightarrow N \rightarrow N$$

$$0 * y = 0$$

$$0x * y = x * y + y$$

$$\mathbb{B}, N \models \emptyset \Leftrightarrow \text{BIU PA} \models \emptyset$$

induction enforces recursive structure

injectivity

induction

Semantic compactness

Model \rightarrow Axioms \rightarrow Formal Proofs

Validity

Semantic entailment

deductive entailment

$D \models e$

\Leftarrow

$A \models e$

\Leftarrow

$A \vdash e$

e valid in D

e follows from axioms A satisfied by D

\exists formal proof of e from A

• Example: N and Peano Axioms

• Formal proofs are machine-verifiable

Modular Approach to HOL

$S(A)$

\downarrow

$S(HB)$

\downarrow

$S(HOL)$

part equational logic (simply typed λ -calculus)

axiomatization of $\forall, \exists, \neg, \wedge, \vee$

axiomatization of $\forall, \exists, =$

$HOL \vdash HB \cup QA$

• \models and \vdash are defined by S

• logic constants are axiomatized as ordinary constants

$\models_0, \models_A, \text{Conversion Proofs}$

$\models_0 A, s \models t \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff}$ For every interpretation satisfying A , every solution of E is a solution of $s = t$

Variables in E are fixed,
Variables in A are universally quantified

$\models_A A, s \models t \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \exists$ conversion proof $s = \dots = t$ where each step is

- a λ -conversion, or
- a conservative E -conversion, or
- an A -conversion

$\models_A A \Rightarrow \models_{\lambda_0} A$ soundness

Structural Properties of \models_0, \models_A

Exp, Weak, Accu, Trans

SUS, Dual

$\beta, \eta, E\alpha$

only \models_0 : Compactness, Semi-decidability

Notation

$\models_{\lambda_0} A, F \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \forall e \in F: \models_{\lambda_0} A, F$

$\models_{\lambda_0} A, \lambda \stackrel{\text{def}}{\iff} \emptyset \models_{\lambda_0} A, \lambda$

- Analogous definitions for \models
- Omit E, A if $E = \emptyset, A = \emptyset$:

$\models_{\lambda_0} e, \models_{\lambda_0} e, \models_{\lambda_0} e$

$\models_{\lambda_0} e, \models_{\lambda_0} e, \models_{\lambda_0} e$

- Formula Convention: Omit $\Rightarrow \neg: \models_{\lambda_0} A, A$

Expansivity
Weakening

$\models_{\lambda_0} A, E \cup A$

Weak $\models_{\lambda_0} A, F \wedge E \in E' \wedge A \leq A' \wedge F' \leq F \Rightarrow \models_{\lambda_0} A', F'$

Accu $\models_{\lambda_0} A, F_1 \wedge \models_{\lambda_0} A, F_2 \Rightarrow \models_{\lambda_0} A, F_1 \cup F_2$

Trans $\models_{\lambda_0} A, E' \wedge \models_{\lambda_0} A, F \Rightarrow \models_{\lambda_0} A, F$

Trans' $\models_{\lambda_0} A' \wedge \models_{\lambda_0} A, F \Rightarrow \models_{\lambda_0} A', F$

} proof non-trivial

Stability under Substitution (SUS)

$$\boxed{E \vdash^A_0 F \Rightarrow \forall \theta \in \text{A} \vdash^A_0 \forall \theta F}$$

Special case: $A \vdash F \Rightarrow A \vdash \forall \theta F$

Structural Properties of HB

Dual wrt \leftrightarrow , $\leftrightarrow \leftrightarrow$

And, Equi

Dual, BDual

$\forall x, n, t$
structural property

$$\boxed{\models (\lambda x. n) t \approx n[x:=t]}$$

$$\boxed{n = t \models \lambda x. n = \lambda x. t}$$

$$\boxed{n x = t x \models n = t \quad \text{if } x \notin \text{FV}(n, t)}$$

$\forall T, t \in T$
equational property

$$\boxed{\models \lambda x. \beta x = \beta}$$

Duality

Given: type preserving function $\hat{\cdot} : VC \rightarrow VC$
such that $\forall c \in VC: \hat{\hat{c}} = c$

A dual wrt $\hat{\cdot}$ $\stackrel{\text{def}}{\Leftrightarrow} A \vdash \hat{A}$

$$\boxed{\text{A dual wrt } \hat{\cdot} \Rightarrow (E \vdash^A_0 c \Leftrightarrow E \vdash^{\hat{A}}_0 \hat{c})}$$

β

λ

Eta

η

And

$$x, y \vdash_{BA} x \wedge y$$

} external = internal

Equi

$$x = y \vdash_{BA} x \Leftrightarrow y$$

Ded

$$\begin{aligned} \circ \vdash_{BA} t &\Leftrightarrow A \vdash t = t && \left. \begin{array}{l} \text{if } A \vdash HB \\ \text{and } s, t \in B \end{array} \right\} \\ \circ \vdash_{BA} t &\Leftrightarrow A \vdash t \rightarrow t \end{aligned}$$

holds for $A \vdash BA$ if $\circ \in$ first-order

BDual

$$\circ \vdash_{BA} t \Leftrightarrow \exists \overline{A} \circ \overline{A} \quad \text{if } A \vdash BA \cup \overline{A}$$

The following equations are \vdash_{BA} -equivalent:

BR₁

$$x \Leftrightarrow y \wedge f x = x \Leftrightarrow y \wedge f y$$

BCA

$$f \circ \wedge f \overline{2} \rightarrow f x$$

BE_{exp}

$$f x = (\overline{x} \wedge f \circ) \vee (x \wedge f \overline{2})$$

$$HB \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} BA \cup \{BR_1, BC_A\}$$

$$\mathbb{B} \models c \Leftrightarrow HB \models c$$

HB is semantically complete for B
(BA is not)

Equational Properties of HB

Equations e such that $HB \vdash e$

Boolean Laws BL

MP $x \wedge (x \rightarrow y) = x \wedge y$

GR $x \rightarrow y = x \Leftrightarrow (x \wedge y)$

$$x \rightarrow y = y \Leftrightarrow (y \vee x)$$

YOC $x \Leftrightarrow \overline{y} = \overline{(x \wedge y)} \wedge (x \vee y)$

Structural Properties of BQ

• Dual $int \circ \Leftrightarrow 1, \wedge \Leftrightarrow \vee, \vee \Leftrightarrow \wedge$

• $BQ \vdash HB$

• Gen $\circ \vdash_{BQ} \forall x. \circ$

Generalisation

Notational Definition of \doteq

$$r \doteq t \stackrel{\text{def}}{=} \forall f. f r \rightarrow f t \quad \text{Leibniz}$$

The following equations are derivable from BQ

Ref $x \doteq x$

Sym $x \doteq y = y \doteq x$

Trans $x \doteq y \wedge y \doteq z \rightarrow x \doteq z$

Dist $x \doteq y \wedge f x = x \doteq y \wedge f y$

BIA $x \doteq y = x \Leftrightarrow y$

Axiom of Choice

AoC $\forall x \exists y. f x y = \exists y \forall x. f x (g y)$

$$\text{BQ} \models \text{AoC}$$

$$\text{BQ} \not\models \text{AoC}$$

conjecture!

$$\text{BQ} \cup \{\text{AoC}\} \vdash \widehat{\text{AoC}}$$

Adding AoC preserves decidability

Extensionality

Ext $\forall x. f x \doteq g x = f \doteq g$

$$\text{BQ} \models \text{Ext}$$

$$\text{BQ} \not\models \text{Ext}$$

Haskell?

$$\text{BQ} \cup \{\text{Ext}\} \vdash \widehat{\text{Ext}}$$

Adding Ext preserves decidability

The following equations are derivable from $\text{BQ} \cup \{\text{Ext}\}$:

IX: $\forall x. 0 \doteq t = (\lambda x. 0) = (\lambda x. t)$

VD $\forall f = f \doteq \lambda x. \lambda$

ED $\exists f = \overline{f \doteq \lambda x. 0}$