



## Assignment 4 Introduction to Computational Logic, SS 2008

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Chad Brown

[www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/cl-ss08/](http://www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/cl-ss08/)

---

Read in the lecture notes: Chapter 5

---

**Exercise 4.1** Prove the following statements without using derived rules.

- a)  $x = y, y = z \vdash x = z$
- b)  $\vdash x = y \rightarrow y = z \rightarrow x = z$
- c)  $s \rightarrow t, s \vdash t$
- d)  $s_{\perp}^x, s_{\top}^x \vdash s$
- e)  $\vdash x \rightarrow y \rightarrow x$
- f)  $\vdash x \rightarrow (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$

**Exercise 4.2** Show that the following proof steps are admissible without using derived rules. (Note: Here  $\vdash$  is used as the sequent constructor.)

a)

$$\text{Ded}^- \frac{A \vdash s \rightarrow t}{A, s \vdash t}$$

b)

$$\text{Lam}' \frac{A, s \vdash t}{A, s' \vdash t} \quad s \sim_{\lambda} s'$$

c)

$$\frac{s \vdash t \quad A \vdash s}{A \vdash t}$$

d)

$$\frac{s_1, s_2 \vdash t \quad A \vdash s_1 \quad A \vdash s_2}{A \vdash t}$$

e)

$$\frac{A \vdash s}{A \vdash \theta(s)} \quad \theta \text{ admissible for } A$$

**Exercise 4.3** Prove the following statements. Use BCAR but no other derived rules.

a)  $\vdash (x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (y \rightarrow x) \rightarrow (x \equiv y)$

b)  $\vdash x \rightarrow \neg\neg x$

c)  $\vdash \neg\neg x \rightarrow x$

d)  $\vdash \neg\neg x \equiv x$

e) The following proof step is admissible:

$$\text{Contra } \frac{A, \neg s \vdash \perp}{A \vdash s}$$

f)  $\perp \vdash x$

**Exercise 4.4** Prove the following.

a) If  $s \vdash t$ , then the following proof step is admissible:

$$\frac{A \vdash s}{A \vdash t}$$

b) If  $s, t \vdash u$ , then the following proof step is admissible:

$$\frac{A \vdash s \quad A \vdash t}{A \vdash u}$$