

## Assignment 11 Introduction to Computational Logic, SS 2012

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Chad Brown
www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/cl-ss12/

```
Read in the lecture notes: Chapters 10-11
```

**Exercise 11.1** Prove that weakening is admissible for the tableau system. Rewrite with the list equivalence *rotate*.

Lemma tabW C s : tab C -> tab (s :: C).

Exercise 11.2 Give signed tableau rules for conjunction and disjunction.

**Exercise 11.3** Give complete signed tableaux for the following clauses. A tableau is complete if every branch is either closed or solved.

a)  $\{\neg \neg x \rightarrow \neg y \rightarrow \neg (x \rightarrow y)^{-}\}$ b)  $\{\neg x \rightarrow \neg y \rightarrow \neg (y \rightarrow x)^{-}\}$ 

**Exercise 11.4** Make sure you understand every detail of the decision procedure *dec*. You should be able to write the code of *dec* given your understanding of the signed tableau rules. Don't worry about the first line and the proof obligations.

**Exercise 11.5** Prove the lemmas *sat\_dec*, *tab\_dec*, *nd\_dec*, *tab\_unsat*, *valid\_dec*, and *valid\_refut*.

**Exercise 11.6** Let  $(W, \leq, \alpha)$  be a Kripke model. Argue the following facts where (w, w' and w'' are in W).

- a)  $w \models \neg s$  if and only if  $w' \neq s$  for all  $w' \geq w$ .
- b)  $w \neq \neg s$  if and only if  $w' \models s$  for some  $w' \ge w$ .
- c)  $w \models \neg \neg s$  if and only if for every  $w' \ge w$  there is some  $w'' \ge w'$  such that  $w'' \models s$ .

**Exercise 11.7** There is no such soundness result for classical provability. Which rule of the classical ND calculus causes a problem?

**Exercise 11.8** Let  $(W, \leq, \alpha)$  be a Kripke model. Argue the following facts (where  $w \in W$  and *s*, *t*, *u* are formulas).

- a)  $w \models s \rightarrow \neg \neg s$
- b)  $w \models s \rightarrow t \rightarrow s$
- c)  $w \models (s \rightarrow t \rightarrow u) \rightarrow (s \rightarrow t) \rightarrow s \rightarrow u$

**Exercise 11.9** Suppose  $\emptyset$  and *s* are such that  $\emptyset \vdash_{\mathcal{NC}} s$ . Argue that  $\emptyset \not\vdash_{\mathcal{N}} \neg s$ .

**Exercise 11.10** Which of the following formulas are independent? Justify your answer either by giving appropriate proofs in the intuitionistic ND system or by giving appropriate Kripke models.

- a)  $\neg(\neg\neg x \rightarrow x)$
- b)  $(x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow (\neg x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow y$
- c)  $((x \rightarrow y) \rightarrow x) \rightarrow x$

**Exercise 11.11** Prove the following in Coq.

Lemma unprovable\_PWM : ~nd nil (Imp (Imp (Not x) y) (Imp (Imp (Not (Not x)) y) y)).

Lemma unprovable\_nPWM : ~nd nil (Not (Imp (Imp (Not x) y) (Imp (Imp (Not (Not x)) y) y))).

Lemma indep\_PWM : indep (Imp (Imp (Not x) y) (Imp (Imp (Not (Not x)) y) y)).