

## Assignment 4 Semantics, WS 2009/10

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Jan Schwinghammer, Christian Doczkal www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/sem-ws09/

Hand in by 11.59am, Tuesday, November 17

Send your solutions to Exercises 4.2 and 4.3 in a file named lastname.sml to doczkal@ps.uni-sb.de, and make sure that the entire file compiles without errors. Use the deep implementation of PCF<sup>-</sup> in Standard ML from Exercise 3.3:

**Exercise 4.1 (Contexts and Redexes)** Consider the following PCF terms.

- a) pred(succ 0)
- b)  $\lambda x:T.((\lambda y:T.y) x)$
- c)  $(\lambda x:T.((\lambda y:T.y) x))$  (iszero 0)
- d) (0 0) (iszero 0)

For each of these terms *t*,

- determine if *t* is reducible, and find all redexes *s* in *t*;
- determine all pairs of evaluation contexts C and terms s such that t = C[s];
- determine the reduction context C and reduction redex s of t, if they exist.

**Exercise 4.2 (RedContext and split)** In Standard ML we can be represent PCF<sup>-</sup> contexts as procedures  $c: ter \rightarrow ter$  such that the procedure application c t yields the context applied to the term t.

a) Write a procedure  $redContext: ter \rightarrow (ter \rightarrow ter)$  that yields the reduction context of a reducible term. For example, if t is the term  $(\lambda x:Nat.((\lambda y:Nat.y)\ x))$  ( $natcase\ 0\ 0\ \lambda z:Nat.0$ ) then:

```
> val c = redContext t
val c : ter -> ter = _fn
> val t' = c 0
val t' : ter = A (L ("x", Nat, A (L ("y", Nat, V "y"), V "x")), O)
```

b) Now extend this to a procedure *split*:  $ter \rightarrow (ter \rightarrow ter) * ter$  that yields both the reduction context and the redex of a reducible term. For instance,

```
> val (c,r) = split t
val c : ter -> ter = _fn
val t : ter = C (O, O, L ("z", Nat, O))
```

The procedure *isVal* from the last assignment may be useful.

**Exercise 4.3 (Closure semantics)** To implement the closure semantics for PCF<sup>-</sup>, we represent semantic values as follows:

Write a procedure clEval:  $ter \rightarrow value$  that yields the semantic value of a term, if it exists. Raise an exception if a term is encountered that does not satisfy the syntactic restrictions, i.e., that is of the form  $fix\ t$  where t is not a (double) abstraction or  $natcase\ t\ t_1\ t_2$  where  $t_2$  is not an abstraction.

**Exercise 4.4** (*fix* **terms**) Find a closed PCF<sup>-</sup> term  $t:(nat \rightarrow nat) \rightarrow nat \rightarrow nat$  such that

- *fix t* does not converge, but
- $(\lambda p. fix(\lambda f. \lambda x. p. fx))t$  converges.

(Here the type annotations are omitted for notational convenience.)