



Assignment 11 Semantics, WS 2013/14

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Steven Schäfer

www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/sem-ws13/

Read in the lecture notes: Chapter 5

For a presentation of System T and F you should consult the lecture notes (Chapter 5.2 and 5.3). There is an alternative presentation in Harper's "Practical Foundations for Programming Languages" Chapters 9 and 20. Send your Coq solutions for exercises 11.7 - 11.9 to schaefer@ps.uni-saarland.de until Thursday 12:00pm. The solutions will not be graded. You might want to reuse the definition of substitution for ULC.

Exercise 11.1 It is possible to formulate System T with simpler terms as follows:

$$s, t ::= O \mid S \mid \text{prec} \mid x \mid st \mid \lambda x:A.s$$

In this version the operator *prec* relies on abstractions obtained with λ . There are advantages and disadvantages to this approach.

- Express the term $\text{prec } st(x y.u)$ of the old system in the new system.
- Express the operator *prec* of the new system in the old system.
- Give the typing rules for the terms S and *prec* in the new system.
- Give the reduction rules for redexes obtained with *prec* in the new system.
- Explain why the unique type property is lost in the new system.
- State the canonical form property of the new system.

Exercise 11.2 Express primitive recursion in F . Use Coq to type check your solution. Also Use Coq to compute with your solution.

Exercise 11.3 Give a type preserving translation of System T into System F . In particular show how to translate a T type A into an F type \bar{A} and a T term s into an F term \bar{s} such that:

- $x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_n : A_n \vdash s : B$ in T implies $x_1 : \bar{A}_1, \dots, x_n : \bar{A}_n \vdash \bar{s} : \bar{A}$ in F .
- $s \equiv t$ in T implies $\bar{s} \equiv \bar{t}$ in F .

Exercise 11.4 Subject reduction for F requires the side condition $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$ for non-empty contexts Γ :

$$\Delta \vdash \Gamma \rightarrow \Delta \Gamma \vdash s : A \rightarrow s > s' \rightarrow \Delta \Gamma \vdash s' : A$$

Find a counterexample that shows that the side condition is needed.

Exercise 11.5 Typing judgements can always be reduced to typing judgements for empty contexts. Let $\Delta = \{X_1, \dots, X_n\}$, $\Gamma = \{x_1 : A_1, \dots, x_m : A_m\}$, and $\Delta \vdash \Gamma$. Given a term s and a type A find a term t and a type B such that $\Delta \Gamma \vdash s : A$ if and only if $\vdash t : B$.

Exercise 11.6 Explain why $\vdash U : U$ does not hold in the single sorted presentation of F .

Exercise 11.7 (Coq) We define the syntax of the simply typed lambda calculus in de Bruijn style.

$$A, B ::= X \mid A \rightarrow B$$
$$s, t, u ::= x \mid s \ t \mid \lambda A. s$$

Note that in $\lambda A. s$, there is a new bound variable in s .

- a) Define substitution for terms.
- b) Define the typing relation.
- c) Define the reduction relation.

Exercise 11.8 (Coq) We define the syntax of de Bruijn System T as follows:

$$A, B ::= nat \mid A \rightarrow B$$
$$s, t, u ::= O \mid S \ s \mid prec \ s \ t \ u \mid x \mid s \ t \mid \lambda A. s$$

Note that in $\lambda A. s$, there is a new bound variable in s , and that in $prec \ s \ t \ u$ there are two bound variables in u .

- a) Define substitution for terms.
- b) Define the typing relation.
- c) Define the reduction relation.

Exercise 11.9 (Coq) We encode the syntax of System F as follows:

$$A, B, s, t ::= x \mid s \ t \mid \lambda A. s \mid A \rightarrow B \mid \forall. A \mid U$$

In particular, we use a combined syntax for types and terms and only a single lambda. To encode the type binder we introduce a universe U . Type abstraction is encoded as $\lambda U. s$.

- a) Define substitution.
- b) Define the typing relation.
- c) Define the reduction relation.