### **Constructive Formalization of Regular Languages** Jan-Oliver Kaiser

Advisors: Christian Doczkal, Gert Smolka Supervisor: Gert Smolka

#### Contents

- 1. Motivation
- 2. Quick Recap
- 3. Previous work
- 4. Our development
- 5. Roadmap

#### **Motivation**

We want to develop an elegant formalization of regular languages in Coq based on finite automata.

There are several reasons for choosing this topic and our specific approach:

- Strong interest in formalizations in this area.
- Few formalizations of regular languages in Coq, most of them very long or incomplete.
- Most formalizations avoid finite automata in favor of regular expressions. Regular expressions (with Brzozowski derivatives) lead to more complex but also more performant algorithms.

## **Quick Recap**

#### We use extended **regular expressions** (regexp):

 $r,s ::= \emptyset \mid \varepsilon \mid a \mid rs \mid r+s \mid r\&s \mid r^* \mid \neg r$ 

- $\bullet \, \mathcal{L}(\emptyset) := \big\{ \big\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(\varepsilon) := \{\varepsilon\}$
- $\bullet \mathcal{L}(a) := \{a\}$
- $\mathcal{L}(rs) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cdot \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r+s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cup \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r\&s) := \mathcal{L}(r) \cap \mathcal{L}(s)$
- $\mathcal{L}(r^*) := \mathcal{L}(r)^*$
- $\mathcal{L}(\neg r) := \overline{\mathcal{L}(r)}$

#### **Derivatives of Regular Expressions** (1964), *Janusz Brzozowski*:

- der a  $\emptyset = \emptyset$
- der a  $\varepsilon = \emptyset$

. . .

- der a b = if a = b then  $\varepsilon$  else  $\emptyset$
- der a (r s) = if  $\delta(r)$  then (der a s) + ((der a r) s) else (der a r) s with  $\delta(r) = true \Leftrightarrow \varepsilon \in \mathcal{L}(r)$ . (easily decidable by recursion on r)

**Theorem 1**:  $w \in \mathcal{L}(r)$  if and only if the derivative of r with respect to  $w_1 \dots w_{|w|}$  accepts  $\varepsilon$ .

**Theorem 2**: The set of derivatives of r is *closed under derivation* and *finite* up to similarity.

Regular languages are also exactly those languages accepted by **finite automata** (FA).

Our definition of FA over an alphabet  $\Sigma$  :

- The finite set of states Q
- The initial state  $s_0 \in \mathbf{Q}$
- The (decidable) transition relation  $\Delta \in (Q, \Sigma, Q)$ Deterministic FA:  $\Delta$  is functional and **total**.
- The set of finite states F, F  $\subseteq$  Q

Let A be a FA:

 $\begin{aligned} \mathcal{L}(A) &:= \\ \big\{ w \mid \exists \; s_1, \; . \ , \; s_{|w|} \; \in Q \; s.t. \; (\forall \; i \colon 0 \; < i \; \le n \; \rightarrow \; (s_{i-1}, w_i, s_i) \; \in \Delta_A \; ) \; \land \; s_{|w|} \in F_A \; \; \big\} \end{aligned}$ 

Finally, regular languages are also characterized by the Myhill-Nerode theorem (MH).

First, we define an equivalence relation on L (MH relation):

 $x \ R_L \ y \ := \forall z, \ x \cdot z \ \in L \ \Leftrightarrow \ y \cdot z \ \in L$ 

**Myhill-Nerode theorem**: L is regular if and only if  $R_L$  divides L into a finite number of equivalence classes.

#### **Previous work**

#### • The first constructive formalization of MH.

Based on FA.

Implemented in Nuprl.

Focus on clear formalization.

Close to what we want to do.

(Constable, Jackson, Naumov, Uribe, 1997)

# Decision procedure for regexp equivalence. Based on Brzozowski derivatives. Only soundness proof, no proof of termination or completeness. Implemented in Isabelle.

Focus on simplicity, small regexps.

(Krauss, Nipkow, 2011)

• Decision procedure for regexp equivalence.

Based on FA, matrices.

Implemented in Coq.

Focus on performance. Outperforms every other solution so far. (*Braibant, Pous*, 2011)

#### • Decision Procedure for regexp equivalence.

Based on Brzozowski derivatives.

Implemented in Coq.

Proof of termination given.

Introduces the notion of *inductively finite sets*.

(Coquand, Siles, 2011)

#### • First formalization of MH based on regexp.

Based on Brzozowski derivatives. Implemented in Isabelle. The first formalization of MH in Isabelle. (*Wu, Zhang, Urban*, 2011)

• Decision Procedure for regexp equivalence.

Based on Brzozowski derivatives.

Implemented in Coq.

Translation of the work done by Krauss and Nipkow to Coq.

Adds proof of termination.

(Moreira, Pereira, de Sousa, 2011)

#### **Our Development**

- We want to focus on elegance, not performance.
- Our main goals are MH and the decidability of regexp equivalence.
- We use finite automata.

They are not at all impractical. (Partly thanks to Ssreflect's finType)

#### **Quick examples**

```
Record dfa : Type :=
    dfaI {
        dfa_state :> finType;
        dfa_s0: dfa_state;
        dfa_fin: pred dfa_state;
        dfa_step: dfa_state -> char -> dfa_state
    }.

Fixpoint dfa_accept A (x: A) w :=
match w with
        [::] => dfa_fin A x
        a::w => dfa_accept A (dfa_step A x a) w
end.
```

```
Record nfa : Type :=
    nfaI {
        nfa_state :> finType;
        nfa_s0: nfa_state;
        nfa_fin: pred nfa_state;
        nfa_step: nfa_state -> char -> pred nfa_state
    }.

Fixpoint nfa_accept A (x: A) w :=
match w with
    [::] => nfa_fin A x
        a::w => existsb y, (nfa_step A x a y) && nfa_accept A y w
end.
```

**Our Development** 

#### 50% of **NFA** $\Rightarrow$ **DFA** (powerset construction)

```
Lemma nfa_to_dfa_correct2 (X: nfa_to_dfa) w:
    dfa_accept nfa_to_dfa_X w -> existsb x, (x \in X) && nfa_accept A x w.
Proof. elim: w X => [| a w IHw] X.
    by [].
    move/IHw => /existsP [] y /andP [].
    rewrite /dfa_step /nfa_to_dfa /= cover_imset.
    move/bigcupP => [] x H0 HI H2.
    apply/existsP. exists x. rewrite H0 andTb.
    apply/existsP. exists y. move: H1. rewrite in_set => ->.
    exact: H2.
    Qed.
```

#### Roadmap

- 1. regexp  $\Rightarrow$  FA: closure of FA under  $\cdot$ ,  $\cup$ ,  $\cap$ , \*,  $\neg$ . (**Done**)
- 2. Emptiness test on FA. (  $\mathcal{L}(A) \!=\! \emptyset$  )
- 3. Dedicedability of regexp equivalence:

 $\mathcal{L}(r) = \mathcal{L}(s) \iff \mathcal{L}(\mathcal{A}(r) \cap \overline{\mathcal{A}(s)}) = \emptyset \land \mathcal{L}(\overline{\mathcal{A}(r)} \cap \mathcal{A}(s)) = \emptyset$ 

4.FA  $\Rightarrow$  regexp.

5. Finally, we want to prove the Myhill-Nerode theorem.

Constable et al. establish a direct equivalence between MH and FA. This requires proof of:

- FA induce an equivalence relation on words
- This relation is invariant under extension.
- This relation is a refinement of the MH relation.
- A finite number of equivalence classes under the MH relation induce a set of states for a FA which accepts exactly the union of these equivalence classes.

# Thank you for your attention.

Roadmap

#### References

Constructively formalizing automata theory (1997) Robert L. Constable, Paul B. Jackson, Pavel Naumov, Juan C. Uribe Proof Pearl: Regular Expression Equivalence and Relation Algebra (2011) Alexander Krauss, Tobias Nipkow Deciding Kleene Algebras in Coq (2011) Thomas Braibant, Damien Pous A Decision Procedure for Regular Expression Equivalence in Type Theory (2011) Thierry Coguand, Vincent Siles A Formalisation of the Myhill-Nerode Theorem based on Regular Expressions (Proof Pearl) (2011) Chunhan Wu, Xingyuan Zhang, Christian Urban Deciding Regular Expressions (In-)Equivalence in Coq (2011) Nelma Moreira, David Pereira, Simão Melo de Sousa

#### Roadmap

#### **Extras**

With **Theorem 2**, we can formulate a system of equations:

$$\begin{split} r_0 = &\sum_{i=0}^n \, a_{0,i} \, \, r_{0,i} \\ r_1 = &\sum_{i=0}^n \, a_{1,i} \, \, r_{1,i} \end{split}$$

$$r_n = \sum_{i=0}^n a_{n,i} r_{n,i}$$

where

$$\begin{array}{l} r_{j,i}= \emptyset \ \lor \ r_{j,i}=r_i \text{,} \\ \left\{r_k | 0 \!<\! k \!\leq\! n \right\} \text{ is the set of derivatives of } r_0 \\ \text{and} \end{array}$$

$$r_j = \ldots \ + \ a_{j,i} \ r_i \ + \ldots \ \Leftrightarrow \ der \ r_j \ a_{j,i} \ = \ r_i.$$