

Functions, Ordinals and Well-Orderings in Coq



FINAL BACHELOR SEMINAR TALK
DOMINIK KIRST

The Aim

2

- Substantial development of Set Theory in Coq
- Partial Formalisation of Hrbacek & Jech 1999:
 1. Basic Set Theory
 2. Functions
 3. Ordinals
 4. Hartogs Numbers
 5. Transfinite Recursion
 6. Well-Ordering Theorem
- First part of thesis: explanation of proofs

The Aim ctd.

3

- Comparison of two and a half proofs:
 - Zermelo 1904
 - Zermelo 1908
 - Textbook proof (Hrbacek & Jech)
- Discussion of the Axiom of Choice
- Brief summary of related work:
 - Ilik (Zermelo 1904 in Agda)
 - Brown (Zermelo 1908 in Coq)
 - Kaiser (Zermelo 1904 in Coq and set-theoretic model)

The Status (1)

4

Sets as types coming with an \in -relation:

`Parameter set: Type.`

`Parameter el: set -> set -> Prop.`

We assume ZF and define usual set-operations:

`Parameter pair: set -> set -> set.`

`Axiom Pair: forall A B x, x ∈ (pair A B) <-> x = A ∨ x = B.`



`Definition opair A B := pair (pair A A) (pair A B).`

`Definition relation R A B := R c= product A B.`

The Status (2)

5

Object Functions as Propositions:

Definition `function f A B :=`
`relation f A B ∧ total f A B ∧ functional f`

Helpful application operator:

Lemma `app_cor f A B x:`
`function f A B -> x ∈ A -> (x, f[x]) ∈ f`

⇒ Powerful and convenient framework

The Status (3)

6

Von Neumann definition of ordinals:

1. Set-Transitive
2. Wellordered by Element-Ordering

Important Result of this section:

Theorem `ordinal_wo M:`

`(exists a, ordinal a ^ iso M a) -> WO M`

The Status (4)

7

Construction of Ordertypes yields:

Lemma `wo_ordiso M R:`

`wordering R M -> (exists! a, ordiso M a R)`

Theorem `wo_ordinal M:`

`WO M -> (exists a, ordinal a ^ iso M a R)`

Defining Hartogs Numbers allows:

Theorem `hartogs A:`

`forall A', A' c= A -> ~ iso (h A) A'`

The Status (5)

8

We assume Bounded Transfinite Recursion (BTR):

- Advantage: gives proper functions as sets
- Enough for our purpose
- Formulation as in Hrbacek & Jech, Theorem 4.4

Theorem `trans_rec a B g:`

`ordinal a -> function g (space a B) B ->`

`∃! f, function f a B ∧ ∀ a' ∈ a, f[a'] = g[f|a']`

⇒ Proof can be given as possible add-on

The Status (6)

9

Proof of Well-Ordering Theorem:

- For set M find ordinal λ such that $\lambda \cong M$
- Using BTR, AC and Hartogs Numbers

Proof of Equivalence:

- Given WO for arbitrary sets we construct AC
- Enables further equivalence proofs (Zorn etc.)

Appendix

10

Are the orderings in Zer. 1904 and Zer. 1908 equal?

- Akihiro Kanomori (2004): Yes! But no proof...

- Idea:

1. O_1 : set of all γ -sets
2. O_2 : intersection of all Θ -chains
3. It turns out, that $S \in O_1$ iff $M \setminus S \in O_2$
4. This justifies $x <_1 y$ iff $x <_2 y$

⇒ Formalisation could be future work

Thanks for your attention!

11

References:

- Ernst Zermelo 1904:
„Beweis, daß jede Menge wohlgeordnet werden kann.“
- Ernst Zermelo 1908:
„Neuer Beweis für die Möglichkeit einer Wohlordnung.“
- Akihiro Kanamori 2004:
„Zermelo and Set Theory“
- Danko Ilik 2007:
„Zermelo's Well-Ordering Theorem in Type Theory
- Karel Hrbacek & Thomas Jech 1999:
„Introduction to Set Theory“

Website: <https://www.ps.uni-saarland.de/~kirst>