

- DOMINIK KIRST, HAOYI ZENG, *Constructive Reverse Mathematics of the Downwards Löwenheim-Skolem Theorem*.

Ben-Gurion University, Beer-Sheva, Israel.

E-mail: kirst@cs.bgu.ac.il.

Saarland University, Saarbrücken, Germany.

E-mail: haze00001@stud.uni-saarland.de.

The Löwenheim-Skolem (LS) theorem is a central result about first-order logic, entailing that the formalism is incapable of distinguishing different infinite cardinalities. In particular its so-called downward part (DLS), stating that every infinite model can be turned into a countably infinite model with otherwise the exact same behaviour, can be considered surprising or even paradoxical. Therefore the exact assumptions under which the downward Löwenheim-Skolem (DLS) theorem applies have been analysed thoroughly: From the perspective of (classical) reverse mathematics [6, 10], the DLS theorem is equivalent to the dependent choice axiom (DC), a weak form of the axiom of choice, stating that there is a path through every total relation [5, 8, 3].

An even more informative answer, taking into account the computational content, can be obtained by the perspective of constructive reverse mathematics [7, 4]. This programme is concerned with the analysis of logical strength over a constructive meta-theory, i.e. in particular without the law of excluded middle (LEM), stating that $p \vee \neg p$ for all propositions p , and ideally also without countable choice (CC) [9], a consequence of DC. In that setting, finer logical distinctions become visible and thus one can investigate whether (1) the DLS theorem still follows from DC alone, without any contribution of LEM, and (2) whether it still implies the full strength of DC, without any contribution of CC. We show that neither (1) nor (2) is the case by observing that the DLS theorem requires a fragment of LEM, which we call the blurred drinker paradox, and that it implies only a similarly blurred fragment of DC.

[1] Stefano Berardi, Marc Bezem, and Thierry Coquand. On the computational content of the axiom of choice. *The Journal of Symbolic Logic*, 63(2):600–622, 1998.

[2] Ulrich Berger and Paulo Oliva. Modified bar recursion and classical dependent choice. In *Logic Colloquium*, volume 1, pages 89–107, 2001.

[3] George S Boolos, John P Burgess, and Richard C Jeffrey. *Computability and logic*. Cambridge university press, 2002.

[4] Hannes Diener. *Constructive reverse mathematics: Habilitationsschrift*. 2018. Universität Siegen.

[5] Christian Espíndola. Löwenheim-skolem theorems and choice principles. Technical report, 2012. URL: https://www.su.se/polopoly_fs/1.229309.1426783774!/menu/standard/file/ls.pdf.

[6] Harvey M Friedman. Systems on second order arithmetic with restricted induction i, ii. *J. Symb. Logic*, 41:557–559, 1976.

[7] Hajime Ishihara. Reverse Mathematics in Bishop’s Constructive Mathematics. *Philosophia Scientiae*, pages 43–59, 2006. doi:10.4000/philosophiascientiae.406.

[8] Asaf Karagila. Downward löwenheim-skolem theorems and choice principles. Technical report, 2014. URL: <https://karagila.org/wp-content/uploads/2012/10/Lowenheim-Skolem-and-Choice.pdf>.

[9] Fred Richman. Constructive mathematics without choice. In *Reuniting the Antipodes—Constructive and Nonstandard Views of the Continuum: Symposium Proceedings, San Servolo, Venice, Italy, May 16–22, 1999*, pages 199–205. Springer, 2001.

[10] Stephen G Simpson. *Subsystems of second order arithmetic*, volume 1. Cambridge University Press, 2009.