

Terminating Tableaux for the Basic Fragment of Simple Type Theory

Chad E. Brown and Gert Smolka
Saarland University

Tableaux 2009, Oslo, July 8

Decidable Higher-Order Fragments

- ▶ Propositional type theory
 - ▶ Formulas not involving individuals
 - ▶ Fixed finite model
 - ▶ Non-elementary complexity [Meyer 1974]
- ▶ MSO successor logic
 - ▶ 1 successor [Büchi 1960]
 - ▶ n successors [Rabin 1969]
 - ▶ Non-elementary complexity [Meyer 1973]
- ▶ Lambda equivalence
 - ▶ Equations between terms not involving truth values
 - ▶ Coincides with $\beta\eta$ -equivalence [Friedmann 1975]
 - ▶ Non-elementary complexity [Statman 1977]
- ▶ Propositional μ -calculus [Kozen 1983]
 - ▶ ExpTime [Emerson&Jutla 1988]

Basic Formulas

1. $p(p\perp = p\top) = p\perp$ $p : oo$

2. $f(px) = f(p(p(px)))$ $f : ol, \quad x : o$

3. $x \neq y \wedge px = y \wedge py = x \rightarrow gp = g\top$ $g : (oo)i, \quad y : o$

- ▶ Generalize quantifier-free first-order formulas
- ▶ Embedded formulas, higher-order variables
- ▶ No λ , no quantifiers, no equality for functions

Main Results

- ▶ Terminating tableau system
deciding satisfiability of basic formulas
 - ▶ Subsystem of cut-free tableau system for full STT [B&S 2009]
 - ▶ Derived from one-sided sequent system [Brown 2004, 2007]
- ▶ Finite standard models

Definitions

- ▶ Types: $\sigma ::= o \mid \alpha \mid \sigma\sigma$
- ▶ Simply typed λ -free terms: $s ::= x \mid ss$
- ▶ Formulas: terms of type o
- ▶ Logical constants and abbreviations

$$\perp : o$$

$$\rightarrow : ooo$$

$$=_\alpha : \alpha\alpha o$$

$$\neg s := s \rightarrow \perp$$

$$s \neq t := s = t \rightarrow \perp$$

Propositional Tableau System

$$\frac{}{\perp}$$

$$\frac{s \rightarrow t}{\neg s \mid t}$$

$$\frac{\neg(s \rightarrow t)}{s, \neg t}$$

$$\frac{x, \neg x}{}$$

- ▶ Terminates
- ▶ Complete for $x : o$

Basic Tableau Rules: Predicates

$$\frac{}{\perp}$$

$$\frac{s \rightarrow t}{\neg s \mid t}$$

$$\frac{\neg(s \rightarrow t)}{s, \neg t}$$

Mating

$$\frac{x, \neg x \quad x s_1 \dots s_n, \neg x t_1 \dots t_n}{s_1 \neq t_1 \mid \dots \mid s_n \neq t_n}$$

Boolean Extensionality

$$\frac{s \neq_o t}{s, \neg t \mid \neg s, t}$$

- ▶ Consider $x : o \dots o$ (predicates on truth values)
- ▶ Mating introduces disequations between basic terms

Basic Tableau Rules: Individuals

$$\text{Decomposition} \quad \frac{x s_1 \dots s_n \neq_\alpha x t_1 \dots t_n}{s_1 \neq t_1 \mid \dots \mid s_n \neq t_n}$$

- Complete for $x : \beta \dots \beta$ where $\beta ::= o \mid \alpha$

Basic Tableau Rules: Equality for Individuals

Confrontation
$$\frac{s =_{\alpha} t, u \neq_{\alpha} v}{s \neq u, t \neq u \mid s \neq v, t \neq v}$$

- ▶ New handling of equality
- ▶ Complete and terminating system for quantifier-free PL

Basic Tableau Rules: Higher-Order Variables

$$\text{Functional Extensionality} \quad \frac{s \neq_{\sigma\tau} t}{sx \neq tx} \quad x \text{ fresh}$$

- Sytem now complete for basic formulas

Correctness Proof

1. Refutation soundness

- ▶ Straightforward

2. Termination

- ▶ Lexical ordering
- ▶ Multisets

3. Verification soundness

- ▶ Model existence theorem
- ▶ Maximal open branches have finite standard models

- ▶ **Branch**: Set of basic formulas and disequations between basic terms

Termination

- ▶ $A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq \dots$
- ▶ Lexical ordering
 1. Progress made by FE
 2. Progress made by other rules
- ▶ Progress made by FE
 - ▶ Consider for every A_i the finite multiset that contains for every pair (s, t) of $\sigma\tau$ -typed subterms of A_i the size of $\sigma\tau$, provided $\neg \exists x : (sx \neq tx) \in A_i$
 - ▶ Decreased by FE
 - ▶ Not increased by other rules
(don't introduce subterms at function types)

Termination

- ▶ $A_0 \subsetneq A_1 \subsetneq A_2 \subsetneq \dots$
- ▶ Lexical ordering
 1. Progress made by FE
 2. Progress made by other rules
- ▶ Progress made by other rules
 - ▶ $CA := \mathcal{S}_o A \cup \neg \mathcal{S}_o A \cup \bigcup_{\sigma} (\mathcal{S}_{\sigma} A \neq \mathcal{S}_{\sigma} A)$
 - ▶ $A_i \subseteq CA_0$ if FE not applied
 - ▶ Size of CA is at most quadratic in the size of A

Model Existence Theorem

1. Let E be maximal open branch
2. Define standard frame \mathcal{D}
3. Define possible value relations $s \triangleright_{\sigma} a$
4. Show $c \triangleright \hat{D}c$ for logical constants $\perp, \rightarrow, =_{\alpha}$
5. Show $\forall x \exists a: x \triangleright a$
6. Show $s, t \triangleright a \Rightarrow (s \neq t) \notin E$
7. Let \mathcal{I} be interpretation into \mathcal{D} such that $x \triangleright \mathcal{I}x$ for all x (4,5)
8. Show $s \triangleright \hat{I}s$ for every basic term s
9. Show \mathcal{I} satisfies E (6,8)

Definition of Standard Frame \mathcal{D}

- ▶ Let E be maximal open branch
- ▶ $\mathcal{D}_\alpha :=$ set of all α -discriminants of E
- ▶ If $(s \neq t) \in E$, call s and t **discriminating in E**
- ▶ **α -discriminant**: maximal set D of discriminating terms of type α such that $\neg \exists s, t \in D: (s \neq t) \in E$
- ▶ \mathcal{D} is finite if E is finite

Definition of Possible Values Relations \triangleright_σ

- ▶ Let E be maximal open branch
- ▶ Define $\triangleright_\sigma \subseteq \Lambda_\sigma \times \mathcal{D}\sigma$ by induction on types

$$s \triangleright_o 0 :\iff s \notin E$$

$$s \triangleright_o 1 :\iff \neg s \notin E$$

$$s \triangleright_\alpha D :\iff (s \in D \text{ if } s \text{ discriminating})$$

$$s \triangleright_{\sigma\tau} f :\iff \forall t \in \Lambda_\sigma \forall a \in \mathcal{D}\sigma: t \triangleright_\sigma a \Rightarrow st \triangleright_\tau fa$$

- ▶ Possible values relations
 - ▶ logical relations as in [Tait 1967]
 - ▶ invented for cut elimination proofs
[Takahashi 1967, Prawitz 1967, Andrews 1971]
 - ▶ used for model construction in [Brown 2004, 2007]

Contributions

- ▶ New decidable higher-order fragment
 - ▶ finite standard models
 - ▶ NP-complete without higher-order variables
- ▶ New terminating tableau system
 - ▶ equality handled with confrontation
- ▶ New model construction
 - ▶ discriminants, standard models
- ▶ Tableau system and model construction scale to
 - ▶ EFO (basic + λ + \forall_α , \exists_α) [TPHOLs 2009]
 - ▶ full STT
- ▶ Naive implementation beats higher-order provers
 - ▶ LEO-II, TPS, Isabelle

Future Work

- ▶ Efficient implementation as auto tactic
- ▶ Combination with congruence closure
- ▶ Complexity?
- ▶ Decidable if equations at higher types are added?