

Predicate Logic with Choice

- PL + axiomatization of choice operator
- $CL \vdash CL \vdash PL \vdash BA$
- Skolem quantifier elimination

2006-7-10

Specification CL

Extends	PL		
Constants	$C_T: (A \rightarrow B) \rightarrow T$		choice
Axioms	$f x \rightarrow f(Cf) = \neg$	CI	
Derived Constants	$\bar{C}f = C(\lambda x. \bar{f}x)$	DC	dual choice
	$\exists f = f(Cf)$	EC	
	$\forall f = f(\bar{C}f)$	DA	

CL not uniquely determined, 4 possibilities for B

CL-CL

Can reuse proof techniques for AL

Claim $CL \vdash \forall T$

Proof $\forall f \rightarrow f x = \bar{f} x \rightarrow \bar{f} f$ Taut
 $= \bar{f} x \rightarrow \overline{f(C(\lambda x. \bar{f}x))}$ DV, DC
 $= \lambda x \rightarrow \lambda(Cf)$ $\lambda = \lambda x. \bar{f}x, \beta$
 $= \neg$ CI \square

Exercise Prove $CL \vdash \exists 0, \exists I, \forall \neg$.

Duality

$\delta 0 = \neg$	$\delta 1 = 0$
$\delta \vee = \vee$	$\delta \wedge = \wedge$
$\delta \rightarrow = \leftarrow$	$\delta \leftarrow = \rightarrow$
$\delta \leftrightarrow = \leftrightarrow$	$\delta \leftrightarrow = \leftrightarrow$
$\delta \bar{C} = \bar{C}$	$\delta C = C$
$\delta \forall_T = \exists_T$	$\delta \exists_T = \forall_T$

$$\delta(\delta \nu) = \nu$$

$$CL \vdash \delta(CL)$$

$$CL \vdash \nu \Leftrightarrow CL \vdash \delta \nu$$

Skolem's Law

$$CL \vdash \forall x \exists y. fxy = \exists y \forall x. fxy(gx) \quad \text{Skolem}$$

$CL \models Sk_0$
 $CL \not\models Sk_0$ (difficult to show)

Claim $CL \vdash fxy \leftrightarrow f(\bar{c}f) = 0$ dual of CI

Proof

$$\begin{aligned}
 fxy &\leftrightarrow f(\bar{c}f) \\
 &= \overline{fxy} \rightarrow \overline{f(\bar{c}f)} \\
 &= \overline{nx} \rightarrow \overline{n(\bar{c}n)} \\
 &= \overline{1} \\
 &= 0
 \end{aligned}$$

$D \leftrightarrow, Taut$
 $n = \lambda x. \bar{f}x, D\bar{c}, \beta$
 CI
 Taut □

Proof of Skolem's Law

$\exists \exists, D \leftrightarrow, And$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &\overline{\forall x \exists y. fxy} \rightarrow \exists y \forall x. fxy(gx) \\
 &\exists y. (\forall x. f(x, f(\bar{c}f))) \rightarrow \forall x. f(x, gx) \\
 &\quad \exists \exists, D \leftrightarrow, And \\
 &\quad \beta \\
 &\quad \beta \\
 &\quad \beta \\
 &\quad Taut
 \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
 &(\exists y \forall x. fxy(gx)) \rightarrow \forall x \exists y. fxy \\
 &\exists y \exists y. f_2(g_2) \rightarrow fxy \quad \text{Pull } \rightarrow, \beta \\
 &fxy(gx) \rightarrow fxy(gx) \\
 &\quad \neg \\
 &\quad \beta \\
 &\quad \beta \\
 &\quad Taut
 \end{aligned}$$

Prenex Form

$Q_1 x_1 \dots Q_n x_n. A$ where $n \geq 0$ and $\exists b, c \notin \mathcal{N}$ and $n \geq 0$
 quantifier prefix / body

Skolem Form

$\exists x_1 \dots \exists x_m \forall y_1 \dots \forall y_n. A$ where $m, n \geq 0$ and $\exists b, c \notin \mathcal{N}$ and $n \geq 0$

Equivalent Skolem form can be obtained from prenex form with Skolem's laws

Prenex form often can be obtained with pull laws and dM

Skolem Quantifier Elimination (Skolemization)

[1928]

Let A be in prenex form and fmgd.
 Then there exists for each n an A' such that

- 1) $\forall \exists_1 C \in \mathcal{N}(A')$
- 2) $A \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp \Leftrightarrow A' \stackrel{CL}{\models} \perp$

A first-order \rightsquigarrow A' algebraic

- $A, \exists x. \perp \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp$
- $\Leftrightarrow A \stackrel{QL}{\models} (\exists x. \perp) \rightarrow \perp = \perp$ Deductivity, $\exists x$ closed
- $\Leftrightarrow A \stackrel{QL}{\models} \forall x. \perp \rightarrow \perp = \perp$ Pull \rightarrow
- $\Leftrightarrow A \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp \rightarrow \perp = \perp$ Gen \forall
- $\Leftrightarrow A \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp \wedge [x:=a] \rightarrow \perp = \perp$ Stability, $a \in \mathcal{N}(A, \perp, \perp), x \notin \mathcal{N} \perp$
- $\Leftrightarrow A, \perp \wedge [x:=a] = \perp \vdash \perp = \perp$ Deductivity, $\perp [x:=a]$ closed

Lemma

$\exists x$ closed and a does not appear in A, \perp and $\perp = \perp$, then

$$A, \exists x. \perp \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp = \perp \Leftrightarrow A, \perp [x:=a] \stackrel{QL}{\models} \perp = \perp$$

Outmost existential quantifiers can be eliminated by introducing so-called Skolem constants

Example

$$A = \{ \forall x. f(x) \rightarrow \exists f \wedge g(x) = \perp \} \quad \text{f, g constants}$$

$$\forall x. f(x) \rightarrow \exists f \wedge g(x)$$

$$= \forall x \exists x. f(x) \rightarrow f(x) \wedge g(x) \quad \text{Pull}$$

$$= \exists z \forall x. f(x) \rightarrow f(z(x)) \wedge g(x) \quad \text{Skolem}$$

$$A' = \{ f(x) \rightarrow f(a(x)) \wedge g(x) = \perp \} \quad \text{a new constant}$$