



## Assignment 7, Semantics of Programming Languages

Prof. Gert Smolka, Thorsten Brunklaus  
[www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/sem-ws01/](http://www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/sem-ws01/)

---

The purpose of this assignment is to prepare you for the exam on Wednesday, December 19, 2001, 8am-11am. We start at 8.00am sharp. The exam will be strictly closed book (i.e., you must not use any notes and books, and you may only use paper that we provide).

---

**Exercise 7.1** Make sure that you can solve the following exercises from Mitchell's book:

- Exercise 2.2.8, page 57
- Exercise 2.2.11, page 59
- Exercise 2.4.9, page 84
- Exercise 2.4.18, page 91
- Exercise 2.5.18, page 113
- Exercise 2.7.24, page 222
- Exercise 3.7.25, page 222

**Exercise 7.2** Make sure that you can solve the exercises 6.4, 6.5 and 6.6 from Assignment 6.

**Exercise 7.3** Perform the following substitution (types are omitted):

$$((\lambda f. \lambda y. f (x + y)) (\lambda x. x + y)) [y + 3/x]$$

Do not reduce the expression.

**Exercise 7.4** Give a term of PCF that has a normal form but is not strongly normalizing.

**Exercise 7.5** Give an equation that defines a fixed point combinator for eager PCF.

**Exercise 7.6** Define the following types declared in Standard ML in PCF with unit, sums and recursive types:

```
datatype Bool = F | T
datatype Nat  = 0 | S of Nat
datatype List = N | C of Nat * List
```

**Exercise 7.7** Find rewrite systems as follows:

- (a) A terminating but nonconfluent rewrite system with exactly one rule.
- (b) A nonconfluent rewrite system that is locally confluent and has nontrivial critical pairs.
- (c) A nonconfluent rewrite system that has no critical pairs.
- (d) A canonical rewrite system that has nontrivial critical pairs.
- (e) A terminating but nonconfluent rewrite system that rewrites formulas with  $\neg, \wedge, \vee$  into disjunctive normal form.

Prove that the rewrite system you give for (e) is nonconfluent.

**Exercise 7.8** Prove the following equivalence in  $\lambda^\rightarrow$ .

$$\Gamma, x:\sigma \triangleright f x = M:\tau \quad \Leftrightarrow \quad \Gamma \triangleright f = \lambda x:\sigma. M:\sigma \rightarrow \tau$$

**Exercise 7.9** Assume a signature that specifies the following term constants:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix} &: (\sigma \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \sigma \\ \text{K} &: \sigma \rightarrow \tau \rightarrow \sigma \\ \text{S} &: (\rho \rightarrow \sigma \rightarrow \tau) \rightarrow (\rho \rightarrow \sigma) \rightarrow \rho \rightarrow \tau \end{aligned}$$

Give closed equations

$$\begin{aligned} \text{fix} &= \dots \\ \text{K} &= \dots \\ \text{S} &= \dots \end{aligned}$$

that specify the semantics of the respective combinators. Omit the types in lambda abstractions.

**Exercise 7.10** Suppose that the combinators K and S are given for all types. Give the rules that rewrite terms with abstractions into equivalent combinatory terms. Omit the types.

**Exercise 7.11** Translate the following terms into combinatory terms with K and S (types are omitted):

- (a)  $\lambda x. \lambda y. y$
- (b)  $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. y$
- (c)  $\lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. x$