



Assignment 5 Semantics, WS 2009/10

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Jan Schwinghammer, Christian Doczkal
www.ps.uni-sb.de/courses/sem-ws09/

Hand in by 11.59am, Tuesday, November 24

Send your solutions to Exercises 5.2–5.5 in a file named `lastname.v` to doczkal@ps.uni-sb.de. Make sure that the entire file compiles without errors.

Exercise 5.1 (Contextual equivalence)

- a) Show that the following terms are *not* contextually equivalent in PCF^- , by finding separating contexts.
- $S\ 0$ and $S(S\ 0)$
 - $\lambda x y. x$ and $\lambda x y. y$
 - x and $\text{natcase } x\ 0\ (\lambda y. S\ y)$
 - f and $\lambda x. f\ x$
- b) Find two terms $s \sim t$ such that $\emptyset \vdash s : \text{nat}$ and $\emptyset \not\vdash t : \text{nat}$.

Exercise 5.2 (Typing relation)

Prove the following facts about PCF^- in Coq.

- a) There exists a type T such that $\emptyset \vdash (\lambda x. x)\ 0 : T$.
- b) $S \neq S \rightarrow T$ for all types S and T .
- c) There is no type T such that $\Gamma \vdash t\ t : T$.

Exercise 5.3 (Canonical forms)

Show the following properties of PCF^- in Coq.

- a) If $\emptyset \vdash t : \text{nat}$ and t is a value, then either $t = 0$ or $t = S\ v$ for some value v .
- b) If $\emptyset \vdash t : S \rightarrow T$ and t is a value, then $t = \lambda x. S. s$ for some x and s .

Exercise 5.4 (Closure semantics)

Define the closure semantics for PCF^- as a relation $\text{cl_eval} : (\text{env } sv) \rightarrow \text{ter} \rightarrow sv \rightarrow \text{Prop}$ in Coq, where the type sv implements the semantic values.

Exercise 5.5 (Natrec)

Extend the Coq formalization of PCF^- with *natrec*, and adapt the proofs of unique types, progress, preservation and determinacy.