

Assignment 9 Semantics, WS 2011-2012

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Chad Brown www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/cl-ss11/

Read in the lecture notes: Chapter 5

Exercise 9.1

- a) Prove that *r* is confluent if and only if *star r* satisfies the diamond property.
- b) Prove that relations satisfying the diamond property are strongly confluent.
- c) Prove that *star* preserves the diamond property.

Exercise 9.2 Prove the following goals stating two variants of the principle of well-founded induction.

```
Goal forall (r : rel) (p : X -> Prop) (x : X),
terminates r x ->
(forall x, (forall y, r x y -> p y) -> p x) ->
p x.
Goal forall (r : rel) (p : X -> Prop) (x : X),
terminates r x ->
(forall x, terminates r x -> (forall y, r x y -> p y) -> p x) ->
p x.
```

Exercise 9.3 Size induction generalizes complete induction to arbitrary types by employing a size function. Prove the following lemma providing for proofs by size induction.

Lemma size_induction {X : Type} (f : X \rightarrow nat) (p: X \rightarrow Prop) (x : X) : (forall x, (forall y, f y < f x \rightarrow p y) \rightarrow p x) \rightarrow p x.

Hint: Follow the proof script for complete induction. Before doing the induction insert *remember* (f x) *as* n so that you can do induction on n.

Exercise 9.4 Prove the following lemma, which says that a relation terminates if each step decreases the size of a node.

Lemma size_termination {X : Type} (r : rel X) (f : X \rightarrow nat) : (forall x y, r x y \rightarrow f x > f y) \rightarrow terminating r.

2011-12-21 19:30

Exercise 9.5 The lexical product of two relations is defined as follows.

Definition lex {X Y : Type} (r : rel X) (s : rel Y) : rel (X * Y) := fun p q => let (x,y) := p in let (x',y') := q in r x x' \setminus x=x' \wedge s y y'.

a) Prove that the lexical product of two terminating relations is terminating.

Lemma lex_terminates {X Y : Type} (r : rel X) (s : rel Y) x y : terminates r $x \rightarrow$ terminating s \rightarrow terminates (lex r s) (x,y).

b) Find an example that shows that the lemma is unprovable if the termination of s is only required for y.

Exercise 9.6 Consider the following type of infinitely branching trees and *subtree* relation.

```
Inductive tree : Type :=
| treeL : tree
| treeN : (nat -> tree) -> tree.
```

Definition subtree : rel tree := fun s t => match s with | treeL => False | treeN f => exists n, f n = t end.

- a) Prove *subtree* terminates.
- b) Prove *treeL* is normal.
- c) Prove *treeL* is the normal form of any tree.
- d) Prove *subtree* is confluent.
- e) Prove *subtree* does not have the diamond property.

Exercise 9.7 We consider arithmetic expressions

 $e ::= O \mid Se \mid e + e$

- a) Define an abstract syntax as an inductive type *exp*.
- b) Define a semantics $eval: exp \rightarrow nat$.
- c) Define an inductive predicate *step* : *rel exp* representing the rewrite rules

 $\begin{array}{rcl} 0+e & \rightarrow & e \\ Se_1+e_2 & \rightarrow & S(e_1+e_2) \end{array}$

d) Prove that *step* is sound.

2011-12-21 19:30

- e) Define a size function for *exp*.
- f) Prove that *step* is terminating.
- g) Give an inductive definition *red* : *exp* > *Prop* characterizing reducible expressions.
- h) Prove *red* agrees with *reducible step*.
- i) Give an inductive definition *norm*: *exp* > *Prop* characterizing normal expressions.
- j) Prove exhaustiveness of *red* and *norm*. (That is, every expression satisfies *red* or *norm*.)
- k) Prove disjointness of *red* and *norm*. (That is, no expression satisfies both *red* and *norm*.)
- l) Prove *norm* agrees with *normal step*.
- m) Prove that *reducible step* is decidable.
- n) Prove that *step* is complete.
- o) Prove that *step* is normalizing.
- p) Prove that *step* is confluent.
- q) Prove that two expressions are convertible (by step) if and only if they evaluation to the same natural number.
- r) **Challenge:** Define a function *nf:exp* –> *exp* that computes the normal form of an expression and prove it correct.

Exercise 9.8 Give the invariants for the following verification problems.

- a) $\{P\}$ while true do skip $\{Q\}$
- b) $\{X \le 3\}$ while $X \le 2$ do inc $X \{X = 3\}$
- c) { $X = x \land Z = z$ } while $X \neq 0$ do dec Z; dec X {Z = z x}
- d) $\{X = x\}$ Y := 0; while $X \neq 0$ do inc Y; dec $X \{Y = x\}$
- e) $\{X = x\} Y := 0$; while $X \neq 0$ do Y := 1 Y; dec $X \{Y = 0 \leftrightarrow \text{even } x\}$

Exercise 9.9 Prove the following in Coq.

- a) $\forall PQ$. Hoare P (while true do skip) Q
- b) $\forall PQ$.hoare P (while true do skip) Q