



## Assignment 12 Semantics, WS 2011-2012

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Chad Brown  
[www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/sem-ws11/](http://www.ps.uni-saarland.de/courses/sem-ws11/)

---

Read Chapter 3 in the Lecture Notes for Introduction to Computational Logic (2011)

---

**Exercise 12.1** Extend the given Coq development of simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus to T.

**Exercise 12.2** In our development of simply typed  $\lambda$ -calculus we used a capturing substitution. As a consequence the following form of preservation fails:

**Lemma** preservation' Gamma t T t' :  
type Gamma t T  $\rightarrow$  step t t'  $\rightarrow$  type Gamma t' T.

Give a counterexample showing this form of preservation fails. Use the counterexample to prove the following theorem in Coq.

**Lemma** preservation'\_fails : exists Gamma, exists t, exists T, exists t',  
type Gamma t T  $\wedge$  step t t'  $\wedge$   $\sim$  type Gamma t' T.

**Exercise 12.3** Write the definition of the logical relation  $R_T t$  from memory.

**Exercise 12.4** Prove the following lemma relating substitutions and typing.

**Lemma** substitution\_lemma : forall Gamma t T theta,  
type Gamma t T  $\rightarrow$   
(forall x S, Gamma x = Some S  $\rightarrow$  exists s, theta x = Some s  $\wedge$  type empty s S)  $\rightarrow$   
type empty (simsubst theta t) T.

You will need to prove a generalization by induction on *type Gamma t T*.

**Exercise 12.5** Prove the basic lemma.

**Lemma** Basic\_lemma : forall Gamma t T theta,  
type Gamma t T  $\rightarrow$  R' Gamma theta  $\rightarrow$  R T (simsubst theta t).

Use the following (as yet unproven) lemma

**Lemma** R\_beta : forall S T x t, type (update empty x S) t T  $\rightarrow$   
(forall s, R S s  $\rightarrow$  R T (subst x s t))  $\rightarrow$   
forall s, R S s  $\rightarrow$  R T (tmA (tmL x S t) s).

**Exercise 12.6** Prove well-typed terms terminate using the basic lemma.

**Definition**  $\text{ter} (t : \text{tm}) : \text{Prop} := \text{terminates step } t.$

**Theorem**  $\text{ter\_step} : \text{forall } t \text{ T,}$   
 $\text{type empty } t \text{ T} \rightarrow \text{ter } t.$

The remaining exercises are from Chapter 3 of the Introduction to Computational Logic lecture notes. We use  $T$  as syntax for a universe of types.

**Exercise 12.7** Decide for each pair whether the two terms are alpha equivalent.

- a)  $\forall x : T. x \rightarrow x$  and  $\forall y : T. y \rightarrow y$
- b)  $\lambda x y : T. x \rightarrow y \rightarrow x$  and  $\lambda y x : T. y \rightarrow x \rightarrow y$
- c)  $\lambda x y z : T. x \rightarrow (\forall u : x. z \rightarrow y)$  and  $\lambda y x z : T. y \rightarrow (\forall u : x. z \rightarrow x)$
- d)  $\lambda x : T. x$  and  $\forall x : T. x$
- e)  $(\lambda x y : T. y)T$  and  $(\lambda x : T. \lambda z : T. z)T$

**Exercise 12.8** Beta reduce the term

**Compute**  $\text{fun } (X : \text{Type}) (f : X \rightarrow X \rightarrow X) (y : X) \Rightarrow (\text{fun } x y : X \Rightarrow f x y) y.$

by hand and check your result with Coq.

**Exercise 12.9** Give a beta redex where a local variable must be renamed to avoid capturing when the beta redex is reduced.

**Exercise 12.10** Compute the normal forms of the following terms.

- a)  $(\lambda x : T. \lambda g : T \rightarrow T \rightarrow T. (\lambda f : T \rightarrow T. \forall x \in T. f x)(g x)) T$
- b)  $\lambda x : T. (\lambda f : x \rightarrow x \rightarrow x. \lambda y z : x. f(f y z)(f z y))(\lambda y z : x. z)$