



Semantics, WS 2011-2012: Solution for Assignment 4

Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka, Dr. Chad Brown

Exercise 4.1 Define a family of inductive propositions that behave like disjunctions and prove that your disjunctions are equivalent to Coq's predefined disjunctions.

Solution to Exercise 4.1

Inductive Or (X Y:Prop) : Prop :=

| OrIL : X \rightarrow Or X Y
| OrIR : Y \rightarrow Or X Y.

Goal **forall** X Y:Prop, Or X Y \leftrightarrow X \vee Y.

split.

intros A. destruct A. left. assumption. right. assumption.
intros A. destruct A. apply OrIL. assumption. apply OrIR. assumption.

Qed.

Exercise 4.2 Consider the following inductive proposition.

Inductive decp : Prop \rightarrow Prop :=

| decp0 : **forall** X:Prop, \neg X \rightarrow decp X
| decp1 : **forall** X:Prop, X \rightarrow decp X.

Prove the following.

Goal **forall** X:Prop, decp X \leftrightarrow X \vee \neg X.

Solution to Exercise 4.2

Goal **forall** X:Prop, decp X \leftrightarrow X \vee \neg X.

intros X. split.
intros H. destruct H.
right. exact H.
left. exact H.
intros H. destruct H.
apply decp1. exact H.
apply decp0. exact H.
Qed.

Exercise 4.3 Define a family of inductive propositions that behave like existential quantifications. Arrange your definition such that you obtain a constructor *Ex* for which you can prove

Goal **forall** (X : **Type**) (p : X → **Prop**), Ex X p <→ exists x, p x.

Solution to Exercise 4.3

```
Inductive Ex (X : Type) (p : X → Prop) : Prop :=
| ExI : forall x, p x → Ex X p.
```

Goal **forall** (X : **Type**) (p : X → **Prop**),
Ex X p <→ exists x, p x.

Proof. split.

```
intros [x A]. exists x. exact A.
intros [x A]. exact (ExI X p x A). Qed.
```

Exercise 4.4 Prove the following goal.

Goal **forall** (X : **Type**) (x y : X) (p : X → **Prop**),
Eq X x y → p x → p y.

Solution to Exercise 4.4

Goal **forall** (X : **Type**) (x y : X) (p : X → **Prop**),
Eq X x y → p x → p y.

Proof. intros X x y p A. destruct A. intros H. exact H.
Qed.

Exercise 4.5 Prove the following goals.

Goal **forall** n, even n → even (pred (pred n)).

Goal **forall** m n, even m → even n → even (m+n).

Goal **forall** m n, even (m+n) → even m → even n.

Solution to Exercise 4.5

Goal **forall** n,
even n → even (pred (pred n)).

Proof. intros n [|n' A]. now constructor. exact A. **Qed**.

```
Goal forall m n,  
even m -> even n -> even (m+n).
```

```
Proof. intros m n A. induction A ; simpl.  
now auto.  
intros B. constructor. now auto. Qed.
```

```
Goal forall m n,  
even (m+n) -> even m -> even n.
```

```
Proof. intros m n A B. induction B.  
exact A.  
inversion A. now auto. Qed.
```

Exercise 4.6 One can define evenness with a boolean function.

```
Fixpoint evenb (n : nat) : bool :=  
match n with  
| 0 => true  
| 1 => false  
| S (S n') => evenb n'  
end.
```

Prove that the boolean and the inductive definition agree. The proof goes through if you generalize the claim as follows.

```
Goal forall n,  
(evenb n = true <-> even n) /\  
(evenb (S n) = true <-> even (S n)).
```

Solution to Exercise 4.6

```
Proof. induction n ; split.  
split ; intros A. now constructor. reflexivity.  
split ; intros A. discriminate A. now inversion A.  
tauto.  
destruct IHn as [[A B] _]. simpl. split ; intros C.  
constructor. now auto.  
inversion C. now auto. Qed.
```

Exercise 4.7 Prove that *leq* is transitive.

```
Goal forall x y z, leq x y -> leq y z -> leq x z.
```

Solution to Exercise 4.7

Proof. intros x y z A. revert z. induction A ; intros z H.
now constructor.
destruct z ; inversion H. constructor. now auto. **Qed.**

Exercise 4.8 Prove that *leq* agrees with a boolean definition of the natural order.

```
Fixpoint leqb (x y : nat) : bool :=
  match x,y with
  | 0, _ => true
  | S _, 0 => false
  | S x', S y' => leqb x' y'
  end.
```

Goal **forall** x y, leqb x y = true \leftrightarrow leq x y.

Solution to Exercise 4.8

Proof. split.
revert y. induction x ; intros y A. now constructor.
destruct y. discriminate A. constructor. now auto.
intros A. induction A ; now auto. **Qed.**

Exercise 4.9 Define a recursive function

eq_nat : nat \rightarrow nat \rightarrow bool

and prove

Goal **forall** x y, eq_nat x y = true \leftrightarrow x = y.

Solution to Exercise 4.9

```
Fixpoint eq_nat (n m:nat) : bool :=
  match n,m with
  | 0,0 => true
  | S n',S m' => eq_nat n' m'
  | _,_ => false
  end.
```

Goal **forall** x y, eq_nat x y = true \leftrightarrow x = y.
intros x. induction x.
intros [|y].
simpl. split; intros _; now reflexivity.

```
simpl. split; intros H; now discriminate.  
intros [|y].  
simpl. split; intros H; now discriminate.  
destruct (IHx y) as [H1 H2].  
simpl. split; intros H.  
rewrite (H1 H). reflexivity.  
apply H2. inversion H. reflexivity.  
Qed.
```

Exercise 4.10 Define a induction predicate

```
odd : nat -> Prop
```

and prove

```
Goal forall x, odd x <-> even (S x).
```

Give the inference rules for odd. You may need to formulate and prove a lemma to use.

Solution to Exercise 4.10

```
Inductive odd : nat -> Prop :=  
| odd1 : odd 1  
| oddS : forall n, odd n -> odd (S (S n)).
```

```
Lemma lem410 : forall x, even x -> odd (S x).
```

intros x A. induction A.

now constructor.

constructor. assumption.

Qed.

```
Goal forall x, odd x <-> even (S x).
```

split.

intros A. induction A.

constructor. now constructor.

constructor. assumption.

destruct x as [|x].

intros H. now inversion H.

intros H. inversion H as [|y H1 H2]. apply lem410. assumption.

Qed.

Exercise 4.11 Define an inductive predicate

```
rel : exp -> nat -> Prop
```

and prove

Goal `forall e n, rel e n <-> evalExp e = n.`

Give the inference rules for *rel* (write $e \Downarrow n$ for *rel e n*).

Solution to Exercise 4.11

```
Inductive rel : exp -> nat -> Prop :=
| relC : forall n, rel (Const n) n
| relB : forall b e1 e2 n1 n2,
    rel e1 n1 -> rel e2 n2 ->
    rel (Binop b e1 e2) (evalBinop b n1 n2).
```

Goal `forall e n, rel e n <-> evalExp e = n.`

Proof. split ; intros A.

induction A ; simpl ; congruence. (* induction on e more tedious *)

rewrite <- A. clear n A. (* essential, otherwise induction generalizes A *)
induction e ; simpl ; constructor ; assumption. **Qed**.

The inference rules:

$$\frac{}{n \Downarrow n} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow n_2}{\text{Binop Plus } e_1 e_2 \Downarrow n_1 + n_2} \qquad \frac{e_1 \Downarrow n_1 \quad e_2 \Downarrow n_2}{\text{Binop Times } e_1 e_2 \Downarrow n_1 \cdot n_2}$$