A Sandboxing Infrastructure for Alice ML Bachelor Thesis

Andi Scharfstein, June 2006 Advisor: Andreas Rossberg

Programming Systems Lab Saarland University

• The ability to dynamically import code at runtime

- The ability to dynamically import code at runtime
- Popular example: Java applets on web sites

- The ability to dynamically import code at runtime
- Popular example: Java applets on web sites
- Type safety guarantees that the program never goes wrong but what about malicious programs?

- The ability to dynamically import code at runtime
- Popular example: Java applets on web sites
- Type safety guarantees that the program never goes wrong but what about malicious programs?
- Open programming raises new security concerns

• Resources may be corrupted (where "resources" may mean any locally available set of data or system capabilities):

- Resources may be corrupted (where "resources" may mean any locally available set of data or system capabilities):
 - Deletion of data from hard disk

- Resources may be corrupted (where "resources" may mean any locally available set of data or system capabilities):
 - Deletion of data from hard disk
 - Publication of sensitive data

- Resources may be corrupted (where "resources" may mean any locally available set of data or system capabilities):
 - Deletion of data from hard disk
 - Publication of sensitive data
 - Abuse of system as spam server

- Resources may be corrupted (where "resources" may mean any locally available set of data or system capabilities):
 - Deletion of data from hard disk
 - Publication of sensitive data
 - Abuse of system as spam server
- How to prevent this from happening?

• Never grant access rights to local resources!

- Never grant access rights to local resources!
- May be too rigorous

- Never grant access rights to local resources!
- May be too rigorous
 - Write access may be necessary for saving files

- Never grant access rights to local resources!
- May be too rigorous
 - Write access may be necessary for saving files
 - Net access for "live" functionality (e.g. football results)

- Never grant access rights to local resources!
- May be too rigorous
 - Write access may be necessary for saving files
 - Net access for "live" functionality (e.g. football results)
- → We need a flexible, general-purpose solution!

• Provide a controlled (monitored) environment for execution of unknown code

- Provide a controlled (monitored) environment for execution of unknown code
- Grant only access to resources considered "safe"

- Provide a controlled (monitored) environment for execution of unknown code
- Grant only access to resources considered "safe"
- Dynamic distribution of access rights to client on a "need-to-have" basis

- Provide a controlled (monitored) environment for execution of unknown code
- Grant only access to resources considered "safe"
- Dynamic distribution of access rights to client on a "need-to-have" basis
- In a given sandbox, only "smaller" new sandboxes can be created (i.e., the child sandbox always inherits all limitations of its parent)

• Each program/applet has an associated security manager

- Each program/applet has an associated security manager
- Instance of class SecurityManager

- Each program/applet has an associated security manager
- Instance of class SecurityManager
- Supports calls like security_manager.checkConnect("google.com", 80)

- Each program/applet has an associated security manager
- Instance of class SecurityManager
- Supports calls like security_manager.checkConnect("google.com", 80)
- Is utilized by every security-sensitive API function

• Example: File Deletion

- Example: File Deletion
 - > Application calls API function
 file.delete();

- Example: File Deletion
 - Application calls API function
 file.delete();
 - > API function checks permissions (and possibly throws SecurityException)
 SecurityManager sec = System.getSecurityManager();
 sec.checkDelete(file.getName());

- Example: File Deletion
 - Application calls API function
 file.delete();
 - > API function checks permissions (and possibly throws SecurityException)
 SecurityManager sec = System.getSecurityManager();
 sec.checkDelete(file.getName());
 - If permission is granted, API function deletes file and returns <delete file> return true;

- Example: File Deletion
 - Application calls API function file.delete();
 - > API function checks permissions (and possibly throws SecurityException)
 SecurityManager sec = System.getSecurityManager();
 sec.checkDelete(file.getName());
 - If permission is granted, API function deletes file and returns <delete file> return true;
- Since applets only see API functions, no security breach is possible

• Clients should not be able to modify their security policies, e.g. by

- Clients should not be able to modify their security policies, e.g. by
 - gaining write access to permissions file or interpreter binary

- Clients should not be able to modify their security policies, e.g. by
 - gaining write access to permissions file or interpreter binary
 - executing unchecked native code

- Clients should not be able to modify their security policies, e.g. by
 - gaining write access to permissions file or interpreter binary
 - executing unchecked native code
 - creating their own security managers

- Clients should not be able to modify their security policies, e.g. by
 - gaining write access to permissions file or interpreter binary
 - executing unchecked native code
 - creating their own security managers
- Careful API design: insert checks at the proper place!

• Open programming is supported by pickling

- Open programming is supported by pickling
- Pickles never contain references to resources

- Open programming is supported by pickling
- Pickles never contain references to resources
- Resource access is gained via the component manager structure: COMPONENT_MANAGER = sig load: Url -> Component eval: Url * Component -> Package link: Url -> Package ... end

- Open programming is supported by pickling
- Pickles never contain references to resources
- Resource access is gained via the component manager structure: COMPONENT_MANAGER = sig load: Url -> Component eval: Url * Component -> Package link: Url -> Package ... end
- Component manager corresponds to Java class loader + security manager

- Open programming is supported by pickling
- Pickles never contain references to resources
- Resource access is gained via the component manager structure: COMPONENT_MANAGER = sig load: Url -> Component eval: Url * Component -> Package link: Url -> Package ... end
- Component manager corresponds to Java class loader + security manager
- Implicit inheritance of component managers along import chains

• Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)

- Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)
- Possible models:

- Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)
- Possible models:
 - > Reject or accept requests based on URL syntax
 (e.g. "x-alice:/lib/system/TextIO" might be rejected)

- Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)
- Possible models:
 - > Reject or accept requests based on URL syntax
 (e.g. "x-alice:/lib/system/TextIO" might be rejected)
 - Restrict module signatures

 (e.g. only supply the parts from TextIO that require read access
 to the stream)

- Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)
- Possible models:
 - > Reject or accept requests based on URL syntax
 (e.g. "x-alice:/lib/system/TextIO" might be rejected)
 - Restrict module signatures

 (e.g. only supply the parts from TextIO that require read access
 to the stream)
 - Wrap module functions with dynamic security checks (similar to Java)

- Access policies are created by implementing custom component managers (e.g. with different link functions)
- Possible models:
 - Reject or accept requests based on URL syntax (e.g. "x-alice:/lib/system/TextIO" might be rejected)
 - Restrict module signatures

 (e.g. only supply the parts from TextIO that require read access
 to the stream)
 - Wrap module functions with dynamic security checks (similar to Java)
- Introduce convenience functor SECURITY_POLICY -> COMPONENT_MANAGER

References

- Li Gong: Secure Java Class Loading IEEE Internet Computing, 2(6):56–61, 1998
- Sun Microsystems: Java 2 Platform SE 5.0 API Specification, 2004
- Xavier Leroy: Computer Security from a Programming Language and Static Analysis Perspective
 12th European Symposium on Programming, Lecture Notes in Computer Science, 2618:1–9, 2003
- Xavier Leroy: Java bytecode verification: algorithms and formalizations Journal of Automated Reasoning, 30(3–4):235–269, 2003
- Andreas Rossberg: The Missing Link Dynamic Components for ML ICFP 2006
- Peter Sewell and Jan Vitek: Secure Composition of Insecure Components 12th Computer Security Foundations Workshop, IEEE Computer Society Press, 1999