

# VERIFIED EXTRACTION FROM COQ TO A LAMBDA-CALCULUS

RESEARCH IMMERSION LAB - FINAL TALK

Yannick Forster

Joint Work with Fabian Kunze

Advisor: Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka

SAARLAND UNIVERSITY  
Programming Systems Lab

## BEFORE

**Definition**  $\text{Eva} :=$ 

$$\begin{aligned}
 &R (\lambda (\lambda (\lambda \\
 &\quad ((0 (\lambda \text{none})) \\
 &\quad\quad (\lambda (\lambda \\
 &\quad\quad\quad (3 \text{none}) \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad (\lambda \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (((5 0) 2) \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (\lambda \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (((6 1) 2) \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad (\lambda \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad ((1 (\lambda \text{none})) (\lambda (\lambda \text{none}))) (\lambda (8 3) (((\text{Subst } 0) \text{Zero}) 1)))) \\
 &\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad\quad \text{none})) \text{none})))) (\lambda \text{some } (\text{Lam } 0))))))
 \end{aligned}$$
**Lemma**  $\text{Eva\_correct } k \ s : \text{Eva } (\text{enc } k) (\text{tenc } s) \equiv \text{oenc } (\text{eva } k \ s).$ **Proof.***(\* including lemmas: 75 lines correctness proof \*)***Qed.**

# AFTER

**Instance** term\_eva : internalized eva.

**Proof.**

```
internalizeR. revert y0. induction y; intros[]; recStep P; crush.  
repeat (destruct _ ; crush).
```

**Defined.**

- ▶ Framework to extract Coq terms to L terms
- ▶ Semi-automatic verification (not part of this talk)
- ▶ Development of computability theory redone in this framework

# The Language

# SYNTAX AND SEMANTICS OF $L$

De Bruijn Terms:

$$s, t ::= n \mid st \mid \lambda s \quad (n \in \mathbb{N})$$

Reduction:

$$\frac{}{(\lambda s)(\lambda t) \succ s_{\lambda t}^0} \quad \frac{s \succ s'}{st \succ s't} \quad \frac{t \succ t'}{st \succ st'}$$

$\succ^*$  denotes the reflexive, transitive closure of  $\succ$ .

$\equiv$  the equivalence closure.

# BOOLEANS AND NATURAL NUMBERS

SCOTT ENCODING:

$$\overline{true} := \lambda x y.x$$

$$\overline{false} := \lambda x y.y$$

$$\text{if } b \text{ then } s \text{ else } t \implies \overline{b} s t$$

$$\overline{0} := \lambda z s.z$$

$$\overline{Sn} := \lambda z s.s \overline{n}$$

$$\text{match } n \text{ with } 0 \Rightarrow s \mid S n' \Rightarrow t \implies \overline{n} s(\lambda n'.t)$$

# VERIFICATION

## EXAMPLE: ADDITION

```
fix plus (n m :  $\mathbb{N}$ ) {struct n} :  $\mathbb{N}$  :=  
  match n with  
  | 0  $\Rightarrow$  m  
  | S p  $\Rightarrow$  S (plus p m)  
end
```

$$\lceil S \rceil := \lambda n z s. s n$$

$$\lceil plus \rceil := \rho(\lambda A n m. n m (\lambda p. \lceil S \rceil (A p m)))$$



# OUTLINE

The framework should be able to:

- ▶ Generate and register encoding functions for constructor types
- ▶ Generate and register internalizations for Coq functions
- ▶ Generate and verify correctness statements

# OVERVIEW

1. Register relevant encoding functions
2. Extract all occurring functions
3. Generate an inductive representation from a Coq term
4. Eliminate non-computational parts
5. Extract to L-term
6. Generate correctness statement
7. Verify the term semi-automatically

# Encodings

# REMEMBER?

**Definition**  $\text{dec } (X : \mathbf{Prop}) : \mathbf{Type} := \{X\} + \{\neg X\}$ .

Existing **Class**  $\text{dec}$ .

**Definition**  $\text{decision } (X : \mathbf{Prop}) (D : \text{dec } X) : \text{dec } X := D$ .

Arguments  $\text{decision } X \{D\}$ .

# REMEMBER?

Essentially the same:

```
Typeclass dec (X : Prop) : Type :=mk_dec {  
  decider (X : Prop) : Type :={X} + {¬ X}  
}
```

**Definition** decision (X : Prop) (D : dec X) : dec X :=decider.  
Arguments decision X {D}.

# A TYPECLASS FOR ENCODINGS

```
Class registered (X : Type) :=mk_registered
{
  enc_f : X → term ; (* the encoding function for X *)
  proc_enc : ∀ x, proc (enc_f x) (* encodings need to be a procedure *)
}.
Arguments enc_f X {registered} _.
```

# REGISTRATION OF BOOL AND NAT

**Instance** register\_bool : registered bool.

**Proof.**

register bool\_enc.

**Defined.**

**Instance** register\_ℕ : registered ℕ.

**Proof.**

register ℕ\_enc.

**Defined.**

# THE SAME TRICK AGAIN

**Definition**  $\text{enc } (X : \text{Type}) (H:\text{registered } X) : X \rightarrow \text{term} := \text{enc\_f } X$ .  
 Global Arguments  $\text{enc } \{X\} \{H\} \_ : \text{simpl never}$ .

Compute  $(\text{enc } 0, \text{enc } \text{false}, \text{enc } 2)$ .

$= ((\lambda (\lambda 1)), (\lambda (\lambda 0)), (\lambda (\lambda 0 (\lambda (\lambda 0 (\lambda (\lambda 1)))))))$   
 $: \text{term} * \text{term} * \text{term}$



# Representation

# TEMPLATE COQ

“Template Coq is a quoting library for Coq. It takes Coq terms and constructs a representation of their syntax tree as a Coq inductive data type.”

# TEMPLATE COQ'S REPRESENTATION

**Inductive term : Type :=**

- | tRel :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tVar :  $\text{ident} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tMeta :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tEvar :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tSort :  $\text{sort} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tCast :  $\text{term} \rightarrow \text{cast\_kind} \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tProd :  $\text{name} \rightarrow \text{term} (** \textit{the type} **) \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tLambda :  $\text{name} \rightarrow \text{term} (** \textit{the type} **) \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tLetIn :  $\text{name} \rightarrow \text{term} (** \textit{the type} **) \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tApp :  $\text{term} \rightarrow \text{list term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tConst :  $\text{string} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tInd :  $\text{inductive} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tConstruct :  $\text{inductive} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tCase :  $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{term} \rightarrow \text{list term} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tFix :  $\text{mfixpoint term} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \text{term}$
- | tUnknown :  $\text{string} \rightarrow \text{term}$ .

# INTERMEDIATE REPRESENTATION

**Inductive**  $iTerm : Prop :=$

- $iApp : iTerm \rightarrow iTerm \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* application of two terms \**)
- $iLam : iTerm \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* fun \**)
- $iFix : iTerm \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* fix \**)
- $iConst (X:Type) : X \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* not unfolded constants \**)
- $iMatch : iTerm \rightarrow list\ iTerm \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* matches with all the cases \**)
- $iVar : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \rightarrow iTerm$  (*\* variables \**)
- $iType : iTerm.$  (*\* eliminated terms \**)

# Internalization

Straightforward / seen in the introduction:

- ▶ fun
- ▶ var
- ▶ app
- ▶ match
- ▶ eliminated terms

## FIX

Use function  $\rho$  with

$$(\rho u) t \succ^* u (\rho u) t$$

Be careful,  $\rho$  introduces additional lambdas

# A TYPECLASS FOR INTERNALIZATION

```
Class internalized (X : Type) (x : X) :=  
{ internalizer : term ;  
  proc_t : proc internalizer  
}.
```

**Definition** int (X : **Type**) (x : X) (H : internalized x) :=internalizer.  
Global Arguments int {X} {ty} x {H} : simpl never.



# GENERATING CORRECTNESS STATEMENTS

Correctness statement for  $\ulcorner plus \urcorner$ :

$$\ulcorner plus \urcorner \bar{n} \bar{m} \succ^* \overline{n + m}$$

Correctness statement for  $\ulcorner f \urcorner$  with  $f : X \rightarrow Y \rightarrow Z$ :

$$\ulcorner f \urcorner \bar{x} \bar{y} \succ^* \overline{f x y}$$

Idea: Correctness statement can be generated from the type

# THE TT TYPE

An inductive representation for types using HOAS:

**Inductive**  $\text{TT} : \mathbf{Type} \rightarrow \mathbf{Type} :=$

$\text{TyB } t \text{ (H : registered } t) : \text{TT } t$

$| \text{TyElim } t : \text{TT } t$

$| \text{TyAll } t \text{ (ttt : TT } t) \text{ (f : } t \rightarrow \mathbf{Type}) \text{ (ftt : } \forall x : t, \text{TT (f } x))$   
    $: \text{TT } (\forall (x:t), \text{f } x).$

Arguments  $\text{TyB } \_ \{ \_ \}.$

Arguments  $\text{TyAll } \{ \_ \} \_ \{ \_ \} \_.$

**Notation** " $! X$ " :=  $(\text{TyB } X)$  (at level 69).

**Notation** " $X \rightsquigarrow Y$ " :=  $(\text{TyAll } X \text{ (fun } \_ \Rightarrow Y))$  (right associativity, at level 70).

## EXAMPLE

TT representation for

$\forall x y : \mathbb{N}, \{x = y\} + \{x \neq y\}$  is

$\text{TyAll} (! \mathbb{N})$

$(\text{fun } x : \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow$

$\text{TyAll} (! \mathbb{N}) (\text{fun } y : \mathbb{N} \Rightarrow ! \{x = y\} + \{x \neq y\}))$

$: \text{TT} (\forall x y : \mathbb{N}, \{x = y\} + \{x \neq y\})$

# GENERATING CORRECTNESS STATEMENTS

Generate statements using a function:

**Definition**  $\text{internalizesF} (p : \text{Lvw.term}) t (ty : \text{TT } t) (f : t) : \text{Prop}$ .  
 revert p. induction ty as [ t H p | t H p | t ty internalizesHyp R ftt internalizesF'];  
 simpl in \*; intros.  
 – exact (p >\* enc f).  
 – exact (p >\* I).  
 – exact ( $\forall (y : t) u, \text{proc } u \rightarrow \text{internalizesHyp } y \ u \rightarrow \text{internalizesF}' \_ (f \ y) (\text{app } p \ u)$ ).  
**Defined.**

# INTERNALIZEDCLASS

```
Class internalizedClass (X : Type) (ty : TT X) (x : X) :=  
{  
  internalizer : term ;  
  proc_t : proc internalizer ;  
  correct_t : internalizesF internalizer ty x  
}.
```

**Definition** int (X : **Type**) (ty : TT X) (x : X) (H : internalizedClass ty x) := internalizer.  
Global Arguments int {X} {ty} x {H} : simpl never.

# A FINAL HACK

**Instance** `term_eva : internalizedClass (!  $\mathbb{N}$   $\rightsquigarrow$ ! term  $\rightsquigarrow$ ! option term) eva.`

Better:

**Notation** "'internalized' f" :=  
(internalizedClass \$(**let** t := **type of** f **in** **let** x := toTT t **in** exact x)\$ f)  
(at level 100, only parsing).

**Instance** `term_eva : internalized eva.`

# In Practice

# COMPUTABILITY THEORY

<b>Formalization</b>	<b>Thesis</b>	<b>Framework</b>
Natural Numbers	110	60
Equality on terms and $\mathbb{N}$	85	46
Lists	230	113
Substitution and Self Interpretation	209	74
Enumeration of terms	143	26
Inverse Encoding of $\mathbb{N}$	37	9
In Total	777	319