

Synthetic Versions of the Kleene-Post and Post's Theorem

Dominik Kirst,¹ Niklas Mück,¹ Yannick Forster²

¹ Saarland University, Saarland Informatics Campus

² Inria, Gallinette Project-Team, Nantes, France

TYPES'22, June 23rd

SIC Saarland Informatics
Campus

Inria

Synthetic Computability Theory¹

Exploit that in constructive foundations, every definable function is computable:

$A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ is **decidable** $:= \exists d : X \rightarrow \mathbb{B}. \forall x. A x \leftrightarrow d x = \text{true}$

$A : X \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ **many-one-reduces** to $B : Y \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ $:= \exists r : X \rightarrow Y. \forall x. A x \leftrightarrow B (r x)$

Pros:

- Avoid manipulating Turing machines or equivalent model of computation
- Elegant formalisation (e.g. in CIC), feasible mechanisation (e.g. in Coq)

Cons:

- Finding a correct synthetic rendering of Turing reductions not so straightforward
- But Turing reductions are needed for interesting results like Kleene-Post and Post

¹Richman (1983); Bauer (2006)

Synthetic Oracle Machines

We had some failed attempts, Andrej Bauer's proposal (Bauer (2021)) came to our rescue²

A **synthetic oracle machine** is an operation on functional relations $\mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$

$$R : \{A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mid A \text{ functional}\} \rightarrow \{A : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B} \rightarrow \mathbb{P} \mid A \text{ functional}\}$$

factoring through a **computational core** on partial functions $\mathbb{N} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{B}$

$$r : (\mathbb{N} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{B}) \rightarrow \mathbb{N} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{B} \quad \text{with} \quad \forall f : \mathbb{N} \dashrightarrow \mathbb{B}. R f = r f$$

satisfying the requirement that R be **continuous**:

$$R A n b \rightarrow \exists L : \mathbb{N}^*. L \subseteq \text{dom}(A) \wedge \forall A'. A' =_L A \rightarrow R A' n b$$

Axiom: there is an enumeration R_n of oracle machines

$$A \preceq_T B := A \text{ Turing-reduces to } B \text{ if there is an oracle machine } R \text{ with } R B = A$$

²See Forster (2021) and the related TYPES abstract Forster and Kirst (2022)

The Kleene-Post Theorem (à la Odifreddi (1992))

Goal: construct incomparable Turing degrees $A := \bigcup_{n:\mathbb{N}} \sigma_n$ and $B := \bigcup_{n:\mathbb{N}} \tau_n$

Characterise σ_n and τ_n inductively by a predicate $n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau)$ with:

- If $n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau)$ and $n \triangleright (\sigma', \tau')$, then $\sigma = \sigma'$ and $\tau = \tau'$
- For every n there **not not** exist σ and τ with $n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau)$
- If $2n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau)$, then $R_n A$ differs from B at position $|\tau|$
- If $2n + 1 \triangleright (\sigma, \tau)$, then $R_n B$ differs from A at position $|\sigma|$

Theorem (Kleene-Post)

There are predicates A and B such that neither $A \preceq_T B$ nor $B \preceq_T A$.

Post's Theorem (à la Odifreddi (1992))

Goal: connect the arithmetical hierarchy with iterated Turing jumps $\emptyset^{(n)}$

Represent the arithmetical hierarchy on predicates $p : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ inductively:

$$\frac{f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}{\Sigma_0(\lambda \vec{x}. f \vec{x} = \text{true})} \quad \frac{f : \mathbb{N}^k \rightarrow \mathbb{B}}{\Pi_0(\lambda \vec{x}. f \vec{x} = \text{true})} \quad \frac{\Pi_n p}{\Sigma_{n+1}(\lambda \vec{x}. \exists y. p(y :: \vec{x}))} \quad \frac{\Sigma_n p}{\Pi_{n+1}(\lambda \vec{x}. \forall y. p(y :: \vec{x}))}$$

Turing jump of A $:= \lambda n. R_n A n \text{ true}$

A is semi-decidable relative to B $:= \exists R. \forall n. A n \leftrightarrow R B n \text{ true}$

Theorem (Post)

Assuming LEM ($\forall p. p \vee \neg p$), the following can be shown:

- A predicate A is Σ_{n+1} iff it is semi-decidable relative to $\emptyset^{(n)}$.
- If A is Σ_n , then $A \preceq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$. If $n > 0$ already $A \preceq_m \emptyset^{(n)}$ for synthetic many-one reductions.

Outlook

- 1 Investigate if the enumeration R_n can be obtained using Church's thesis (Kreisel (1965))
⇒ Maybe possible using Kleene's second algebra (Kleene (1952))
- 2 Analyse use of LEM in Post's theorem (though deemed consistent with enumeration R_n)
⇒ Avoid switching between Σ_n and Π_n via complementation (Akama et al. (2004))
- 3 Tackle Post's problem regarding an undecidable but enumerable degree below $\emptyset^{(1)}$
⇒ Following Friedberg (1957) and Mučnik (1956) or Kučera (1986)

Thanks for your attention!

Bibliography I

- Akama, Y., Berardi, S., Hayashi, S., and Kohlenbach, U. (2004). An arithmetical hierarchy of the law of excluded middle and related principles. In *Proceedings of the 19th Annual IEEE Symposium on Logic in Computer Science, 2004.*, pages 192–201. IEEE.
- Bauer, A. (2006). First steps in synthetic computability theory. *Electronic Notes in Theoretical Computer Science*, 155:5–31.
- Bauer, A. (2021). Synthetic mathematics with an excursion into computability theory. University of Wisconsin Logic seminar.
- Forster, Y. (2021). *Computability in Constructive Type Theory*. PhD thesis, Saarland University.
- Forster, Y. and Kirst, D. (2022). Synthetic Turing reducibility in constructive type theory. 28th International Conference on Types for Proofs and Programs (TYPES 2022).
- Friedberg, R. M. (1957). Two recursively enumerable sets of incomparable degrees of unsolvability (solution of post's problem, 1944). *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 43(2):236.
- Kleene, S. C. (1952). Introduction to metamathematics.
- Kreisel, G. (1965). Mathematical logic. *Lectures in modern mathematics*, 3:95–195.

Bibliography II

- Kučera, A. (1986). An alternative, priority-free, solution to post's problem. In *International Symposium on Mathematical Foundations of Computer Science*, pages 493–500. Springer.
- Mučnik, A. A. (1956). On the unsolvability of the problem of reducibility in the theory of algorithms. In *Dokl. Akad. Nauk SSSR*, volume 108, page 1.
- Odifreddi, P. (1992). *Classical recursion theory: The theory of functions and sets of natural numbers*. Elsevier.
- Richman, F. (1983). Church's thesis without tears. *The Journal of symbolic logic*, 48(3):797–803.

Backup Kleene-Post

Characterise σ_n and τ_n inductively by $\triangleright : \mathbb{N} \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{B}^* \rightarrow \mathbb{P}$ with $0 \triangleright (\epsilon, \epsilon)$ and:

$$\frac{2n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau) \quad \sigma' \text{ least extension of } \sigma \text{ with } b = r_n \sigma' | \tau|}{2n + 1 \triangleright (\sigma', \tau \# [\neg b])}$$

$$\frac{2n \triangleright (\sigma, \tau) \quad \neg(\exists \sigma' b. \sigma' \geq \sigma \wedge b = r_n \sigma' | \tau|)}{2n + 1 \triangleright (\sigma, \tau \# [\text{false}])}$$

$$\frac{2n + 1 \triangleright (\sigma, \tau) \quad \tau' \text{ least extension of } \tau \text{ with } b = r_n \tau' | \sigma|}{2n + 2 \triangleright (\sigma \# [\neg b], \tau')}$$

$$\frac{2n + 1 \triangleright (\sigma, \tau) \quad \neg(\exists \tau' b. \tau' \geq \tau \wedge b = r_n \tau' | \sigma|)}{2n + 2 \triangleright (\sigma \# [\text{false}], \tau)}$$

Backup Post

Theorem (Post')

Assuming LEM ($\forall p. p \vee \neg p$), the following can be shown:

- A predicate A is Σ_{n+1} iff it is semi-decidable relative to some B in Π_n .
- If A is Σ_n , then $A \preceq_T \emptyset^{(n)}$. If $n > 0$ already $A \preceq_m \emptyset^{(n)}$ for synthetic many-one reductions.

Lemma

Given an oracle machine R with core r , termination $R A n b$ is equivalent to

$$\exists L_{\text{true}} L_{\text{false}}. (\forall n \in L_{\text{true}}. A n \text{ true}) \wedge (\forall n \in L_{\text{false}}. A n \text{ false}) \wedge r(\text{lookup } L_{\text{true}} L_{\text{false}}) n = b$$

where $\text{lookup } L_{\text{true}} L_{\text{false}} n$ returns true if $n \in L_{\text{true}}$, false if $n \in L_{\text{false}}$, and diverges otherwise.