Formal and Constructive Theory of Computation Second Bachelor Seminar Talk

Fabian Kunze Advisor: Prof. Dr. Gert Smolka



19.06.2015

Overview

- Review
 - L: weak, call-by-value λ -Calculus
 - SK: call-by-value Combinator Calculus
- $oldsymbol{2}$ connecting L and SK
 - reduction-respecting $L \rightarrow SK$ -homomorphism
 - L-decidable \Rightarrow SK-decidable
 - reduction-respecting $SK \rightarrow L$ -homomorphism
 - SK-decidable $\Rightarrow L$ -decidable
- \bigcirc L_C : L with closures
 - L-normalization tactic in Coq
- 4 Outlook

L: weak, call-by-value λ -Calculus

$$s,t ::= x \mid \lambda s \mid s t \quad (x \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$x_u^{\times} = u \qquad (\lambda s)_u^{y} = \lambda (s_u^{y+1})$$

$$x_u^{y} = x \qquad (s t)_u^{\times} = s_u^{\times} t_u^{\times}$$

$$\frac{s \succ s'}{(\lambda s) (\lambda t) \succ s_{\lambda t}^{0}} \qquad \frac{s \succ s'}{s \ t \succ s' \ t} \qquad \frac{t \succ t'}{s \ t \succ s \ t'}$$

switched back from named variables to de Bruijn indices:

- α -equivalence 'for free'
 - simpler inductive definition of closed
 - ullet simple representation of environments (for L_C)
- \Rightarrow simplifies formal proofs

But: named variables more intuitive \Rightarrow used as human-readable description

SK: call-by-value Combinator Calculus

$$N,M ::= x \mid K \mid S \mid NM \quad (x \in \mathbb{N})$$

$$Val: \ N, M ::= x \mid K \mid K N \mid S \mid S N \mid S N M \quad (x \in \mathbb{N})$$

 $N, M, H \in Val$

$$K N M \succ N$$
 $S N M H \succ N H (M H)$

$$\frac{M \succ M'}{N M \succ N M'}$$

 $\frac{N \succ N'}{N M \succ N' M}$

last talk:

uniform confluent

 $N, M \in Val$

• reduction-respecting homomorphism from L into SK

reduction-respecting $L \rightarrow SK$ -homomorphism desired properties

• : $L \rightarrow SK$ which is:

homomorphic: by definition

$$\underline{s} \ \underline{t} = \underline{s} \ \underline{t}$$

• reduction-respecting: proven

$$\frac{s \succ_{L} t}{\underline{s} \succ_{SK}^{*} \underline{t}}$$

• value preserving: proven

$$s$$
 irreduzible $\Leftrightarrow \underline{s} \in Val$

• tight: not proven yet (but for old version)

$$\frac{\underline{s} \succ_{SK} N}{\exists t, s \succ_{L} t \land N \succ_{SK}^{*} \underline{t}}$$

reduction-respecting $L \rightarrow SK$ -homomorphism improved homomorphism

- $N \in Val \Longrightarrow [x]. N \in Val$
- $\mathcal{H}, M \in Val \Longrightarrow ([x].N) M \succ^* N_M^x$
- $x \neq y \land y \notin \mathsf{FV}(M) \Longrightarrow ([y].N)_M^{\times} = [y].(N_M^{\times})$

$$\underline{x} := x$$

$$\underline{s} \underline{t} := \underline{s} \underline{t}$$

$$\underline{\lambda x.s} := S([x].H'\underline{s}) + H'(\lambda x.s) := K(S([x].H's) + H'(\lambda x.s) := K(S([x$$

reduction-respecting $L \rightarrow SK$ -homomorphism desired properties

• : $L \rightarrow SK$ which is:

homomorphic: by definition

$$\underline{s} \ \underline{t} = \underline{s} \ \underline{t}$$

• reduction-respecting: proven

$$\frac{s \succ_{L} t}{\underline{s} \succ_{SK}^{*} \underline{t}}$$

value preserving: proven

$$s$$
 irreduzible $\Leftrightarrow \underline{s} \in Val$

• tight: not proven yet (but for old version)

$$\frac{\underline{s} \succ_{s\kappa} N}{\exists t, s \succ_{L} t \land N \succ_{s\kappa}^{*} \underline{t}}$$

L-decidable \Rightarrow *SK*-decidable

u is L-decider for predicate p:

$$\forall s, p \ s \land u \ \lceil s \rceil \succ^* \ \lceil true \rceil$$

 $\lor \neg p \ s \land u \ \lceil s \rceil \succ^* \ \lceil false \rceil$

N is SK-decider for predicate p:

$$\forall s, p \ s \land N \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil} \succ^* \underline{\lceil \text{true} \rceil}$$
 $\lor \neg p \ s \land N \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil} \succ^* \underline{\lceil \text{false} \rceil}$

For *L*-decider u, \underline{u} is SK-decider:

- $\forall s, \underline{u} \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil} = \underline{u} \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil}$
- is reduction-respecting
- \rightarrow *L*-decidable \Rightarrow *SK*-decidable

?

reduction-respecting SK o L-homomorphism desired properties

- $\overline{\bullet}: SK \to L$ which is:
 - ullet homomorphic: conflicts with value preservation (but ${\cal L}$ is)

$$\overline{N} \overline{M} = \overline{N} \overline{M}$$

• reduction-respecting: proven

$$\frac{N \succ_{SK} M}{\overline{N} \succ_{\iota}^{*} \overline{M}}$$

• value preserving: proven

$$N \in Val \Leftrightarrow \overline{N}$$
 irreduzible

tight: not proven yet

$$\frac{\overline{N} \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle L} s}{\exists M, N \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle SK} M \land s \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^* \overline{t}}$$

reduction-respecting $SK \rightarrow L$ -homomorphism

$$\mathcal{L}K := \lambda x. \lambda y. x$$

$$\mathcal{L}S := \lambda x. \lambda y. \lambda z. (x z) (y z)$$

$$\mathcal{L}(N M) := \mathcal{L}N \mathcal{L}M$$

homomorphism, but for partly applied combinators: not value-preserving (e.g. $\mathcal{L}(K|K)$)

$$\begin{array}{c} \mathsf{K} := \lambda x. \lambda y. x \\ \overline{(\mathsf{K} \ N)} := \lambda y. \overline{N} & (\mathit{N} \in \mathit{Val}) \\ \vdots & \vdots & \vdots \\ \overline{(\mathsf{S} \ \mathit{N} \ \mathit{M})} := \lambda z. (\overline{\mathit{N}} \ \mathit{z}) \ (\overline{\mathit{M}} \ \mathit{z}) & (\mathit{N}, \mathit{M} \in \mathit{Val}) \\ \overline{(\mathit{N} \ \mathit{M})} := \overline{\mathit{N}} \ \overline{\mathit{M}} & \text{otherwise} \end{array}$$

• $\mathcal{L}s \succ^* \overline{s}$

reduction-respecting SK o L-homomorphism desired properties

- $\overline{\bullet}: SK \to L$ which is:
 - ullet homomorphic: conflicts with value preservation (but ${\cal L}$ is)

$$\overline{N} \overline{M} = \overline{N} \overline{M}$$

• reduction-respecting: proven

$$\frac{N \succ_{SK} M}{\overline{N} \succ_{\iota}^* \overline{M}}$$

• value preserving: proven

$$N \in Val \Leftrightarrow \overline{N}$$
 irreduzible

tight: not proven yet

$$\frac{\overline{N} \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle L} s}{\exists M, N \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle SK} M \land s \succ_{\scriptscriptstyle L}^* \overline{t}}$$

SK-decidable $\Rightarrow L$ -decidable

N is *SK*-decider for predicate *p*:

$$\forall s, p \ s \land N \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil} \succ^* \underline{\lceil true \rceil}$$
 $\lor \neg p \ s \land N \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil} \succ^* \underline{\lceil false \rceil}$

u is *L*-decider for predicate *p*:

$$\forall s, p \ s \land u \ \lceil s \rceil \succ^* \lceil \text{true} \rceil$$

 $\lor \neg p \ s \land u \ \lceil s \rceil \succ^* \lceil \text{false} \rceil$

Just ■ does not suffice:

We know about $\overline{N} \ \underline{\lceil s \rceil}$ and $\overline{N} \ \overline{(\lceil s \rceil)}$, not about $\overline{N} \ \lceil s \rceil$

- internalize LH \in L with LH $\lceil s \rceil \succ^* \overline{(\lceil s \rceil)}$
- $\bullet \ \overline{N} \ (\mathsf{LH} \ \ulcorner s \urcorner) \ \succ^* \overline{N} \ \overline{(\ulcorner s \urcorner)} \ (\ \succ^* \overline{(\ulcorner b \urcorner)} \)$

<u>?</u>

 \rightarrow *SK*-decidable \Rightarrow *L*-decidable

L_C: *L* with closures

$$p, q ::= s[C] \mid p q \quad (s \in L, C \in L_C \text{ list})$$

Intuition: Computation tree of L-interpreter using stack as environments

$$\overline{(\lambda s)[C] \ (\lambda t)[D] \succ s[(\lambda t)[D] \ :: \ C]} \qquad \overline{(s \ t)[C] \succ s[C] \ t[C]}$$

$$\underline{\frac{p \succ p'}{x[C] \succ C!!x}} \qquad \underline{\frac{p \succ p'}{p \ q \succ p' \ q}} \qquad \underline{\frac{q \succ q'}{p \ q \succ p \ q'}}$$

- $p \in ValComp :\Leftrightarrow p$ closed and environments contain only lambdas
- $\lceil \bullet \rceil : L_C \to L$ (substitutes the environments)
- uniform confluent
- Sound: If $p \in ValComp$ and $p \succ q$, then $\lceil p \rceil \succ_{L} \lceil q \rceil$ (easy induction)
- Complete: If $p \in ValComp$ and $\lceil p \rceil \succ_L s$, then there is q s.t. $s = \lceil q \rceil$ and $p \succ^* q$ (induction)

step-indexed interpreter for L_C

```
Eval 0 p = p

Eval (S n) ((\lambda s[A]) (\lambda t)[B]) = \text{Eval } n (s[(\lambda t[B]) :: A])

Eval (S n) (s[A] t[B]) = \text{Eval } n ((\text{Eval } n s[A]) (\text{Eval } n t[B]))

Eval (S n) ((s t)[A]) = \text{Eval } n (s[A] t[A])

Eval (S n) (x[A]) = A!!x
```

- Correct: $s \succ^* (\text{Eval } n \ s)$
- Efficient: linear in length of reduction (fixed depth of binding)

L-normalization tactic in Coq

Example (Old Proof terms)

$$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) \mid \mathsf{I} \succ (\lambda y.x)^{\mathsf{x}}_{\mathsf{I}} \mid = (\lambda y.\mathsf{I}) \mid \mathsf{F} \vdash \mathsf{I}^{\mathsf{y}}_{\mathsf{I}} = \mathsf{I}$$

- rewrite step by step
- executes substitutions
- \Rightarrow $O(n^2)$ for *n*-long reduction (e.g. $(\lambda x_1.\lambda x_2....\lambda x_n.x_1)$ | ... |

Example (New Proof terms)

$$(\lambda x.\lambda y.x) \mid | \succ^* \vdash \text{Eval } n ((\lambda x.\lambda y.x) \mid |)[] \mid = |$$

 \Rightarrow O(n) for *n*-long reduction

Benchmark

$$Q \lceil \lambda x. x \rceil \succ^* \lceil \lceil \lambda x. x \rceil \rceil$$

Old: 27 sec

New: 0.3 sec

L-normalization tactic in Coq

Improved usability for intermediate reductions:

- crush_old: proofs goal or diverges(or unfolds everything)
- crush: unfolds everything and normalizes as far as possible
- wcrush: normalizes, do not unfold
- ccrush: normalizes, do not unfold, use correctness lemmas

Demo

Outlook

- are the reduction homomorphisms:
 - ▶ tight?
 - ▶ injective?
- L-undecidable properties of SK-Terms:
 - term-equivalence
 - halting problem
- Multivariate λ-Calculus

If there is enough time left:

undecidability of intuitionistic first-order-logic